Performance Comparison of different hybrid amplifiers for different numbers of channels
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Abstract—We have investigated the performance comparison of different hybrid optical amplifiers (RAMAN-EDFA, RAMAN-SOA, SOA-EDFA, EDFA-EDFA, EDFA-EDFA). The proposed configuration consists of 16, 32 and 64 Gbps channels at speed of 10 Gbps. We have realized the different hybrid amplifiers and their parameters like quality factor, BER, eye opening and jitter at different number of channels. The different combinations can provide a better result and better feasibility for long distance transmission. It is observed that SOA-EDFA showed good performance as it can travel max distance of 220, 240, 260 km at 16, 32 and 64 channels respectively. Also, RAMAN-EDFA showed a good performance as it has a high QUALITY FACTOR (24.27) and BER (1 X 10^-19) at 16 channels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Technology developments have described that optical is fantastic for the transmission. In fiber optic communications, WDM is a technology which multiplexes a number of optical carrier signals onto a single optical fiber by using different wavelengths at speed in Gbps [1-3]. WDM of optical signals is a promising way to increase transmission capacity of a fiber. The development of WDM has allowed us to exploit large amount of bandwidth available in optical fiber as low capacity channels [4].

An optical network is optical but switching could be optical, electrical or hybrid as well [5] whereas optical amplifiers are used which directly amplify the transmitter optical signal without conversion to electric forms and also can be used for long distance transmission which can be pre or post amplifier. An optical amplifier is a laser without a feedback [6]. It uses the principle of stimulated emission same as used in laser.

Dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) uses the same transmission window but with denser channel spacing. Channel plans vary, but a typical system would use 40 channels at 100 GHZ spacing or 80 channels with 50 GHZ spacing. The cascading a semiconductor optical amplifier (SOA) and a fiber Raman amplifier (FRA or RA) is called hybrid amplifier. There are two kinds of hybrid amplifiers (HA): seamless and wideband hybrid amplifiers (SWB-HA) and narrowband amplifier (NA). Hybrid amplifier increases the transmitter power by placing it just after the transmitter and before the receiver without any splitters, boosters in between as it increases the noises and distortion.

A Carena et al. [7] investigated on the optimal configuration of hybrid Raman/Edfa yielding a closed form analysis. In order to compare different system configurations, impact of fiber non-linearities has been introduced. The maximum reachable distance has been evaluated with a target signal to noise ratio. Also he demonstrated that Raman amplification, combined with EDFA, allows the increase of the maximum reachable distance and/or the span length. Raman amplification can also be used to substantially reduce the impact of fiber nonlinearity.

Chieng Hung Yeh et al. [8] demonstrated a new hybrid three stage L-band fiber amplifier module composed of a semiconductor optical amplifier and two Edfa over gain bandwidth of 1540 to 1600 nm. This proposed amplifier also provides a broadband amplified spontaneous emission light source. Also he experimentally demonstrated a new hybrid L-band fiber amplifier utilizing a semiconductor optical amplifier and two erbium-doped fiber amplifiers over the gain bandwidth of 1540 to 1600 nm. This proposed amplifier also provides broadband ASE light source. Therefore, this amplifier is useful in application to WDM networks.

Ju Han Lee et al. [9] demonstrated on the performance of three different schemes of single pump dispersion compensating fiber based Raman/Edfa hybrid amplifier together with a DCF based Raman only amplifier in terms of static properties, dynamic and system impact. With respect to overall gain and system impact, based on BER hybrid amplifier was found to have the best performance among other types. On the contrary, a much higher transient tolerance was observed in the Raman-only amplifier than the hybrid amplifiers since the EDF section in the three types of hybrid amplifiers is highly sensitive to transient environments.

Seung Kwan Kim et al. [10] proposed the design of hybrid amplifier composed of a distributed Raman amplifier and Edfa. They characterize the distributed Raman amplifier by numerical simulation based on measured Raman gain coefficient of single mode fiber. They estimated the performance of the hybrid amplifier for long haul optical transmission. In single channel amplification, the crosstalk caused by double Rayleigh scattering was independent of signal input power and simply given as a function of the Raman gain. Compared with erbium-doped fiber amplifiers, the
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optical signal-to-noise ratio was calculated to be higher by more than 3 dB in the optical link using the designed hybrid amplifier.

T. Sakamoto et al. [11] designed the gain characteristics of the hybrid fiber amplifier that consists of cascaded thulium doped fiber amplifiers and Edfa are reported. The results showed that the hybrid amplifier have a gain of over 20 dB with bandwidth of 20Db and wavelength range of about 1460 and 1560nm. The low noise figure (NF) below 7 dB was obtained in 1460–1540 nm when placing a TDFA in the first stage followed by an EDFA and in 1480–1560 nm when placing amplifiers in a reversed order. The gain-equalization technique was applied, and the hybrid amplifier that had an average gain of 20 dB, a gain excursion of less than 2 dB, an output power of 14.5 dbm, and an NF of less than 7 dB in the 77 nm gain band was achieved.

We pursue and extend the same work which includes comparison of only 16 channel whereas we have compared the performances of different hybrid amplifiers on various number of channels of 16, 32 and 64.

This paper is organized into four sections. We focus on the comparison and performance of hybrid amplifiers. In section 2, simulation setup for comparison of different hybrid amplifiers. The performance of amplifiers is analyzed here. Section 3 gives the discussions of results observed after simulation. Section 4 gives the conclusion of Quality Factor, Ber, Eye Opening, Jitter, and Power at different channels.

II. SIMULATION SETUP

To investigate the performance of the hybrid amplifiers, at sixteen, thirty two or sixty four user transmitters are used at a speed of 10 Gbps speed as shown in fig 1. The signals from data source and laser are fed to the external Mach-Zehnder modulator, where the input signals from data source are modulated through a carrier optical output signal is transmitted. These signals are transmitted over splitter to which the optical power meter and optical spectrum analyser is attached. The optical splitter splits the optical signals into two or more outputs. Further a compound component is placed which consists of Raman-Edfa, Raman-Soa, Soa-Edfa, and Edfa-Raman-Edfa. We can also choose any other hybrid amplifiers according to the requirements. Again then optical splitter is attached to which optical power meter and optical spectrum analyser are attached. Later, the receiver is attached which is used to detect all signals and converted into electrical signal. Different types of optical amplifiers are applied at different channels. The optical signal is transmitted and measured over different distance using different number of channels. The different parameters like quality factor, Ber, eye opening, jitter are calculated at different channels and best of it is calculated at various channels. Optical signals are amplified using Edfa amplifier. The signal power is measured by power meter and optical probe. The modulated signal is converted into original signal with the help of PIN photodiode and filters. A compound receiver is used to detect all the signals and converts these into electrical signals. Also a power meter can be attached to achieve the power at the receiver end which is needed for the project.

Transmitter of 16, 32 and 64 channels

Receiver of 16, 32 and 64 channels

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The performance of different hybrid amplifiers is compared at different distances. As we increase the distance, the output power decreases simultaneously. Different components have different operational parameters. The comparison of different hybrid amplifiers at different channels is discussed.

In order to observe the performance of different amplifiers (Raman-Edfa, Raman-Soa, Soa-Edfa, Raman-Edfa-Raman), the quality factor versus transmission distance graph is plotted.
As we increase the transmission distance from 60 km to 260, the quality factor decreases because of the crosstalk and carrier density fluctuation in SOA. The variation in different optical amplifier at distance 60 km is 24.273 for Raman-Edfa, 20.206 for Raman-Soa, 21.019 for Soa-Edfa, and 24.249 for Raman-Edfa-Raman. The variation in Quality Factor for different optical amplifier at distance 220 km is 6.020 for Raman-Edfa, 13.020 km for Raman-Soa, 13.904 for Soa-Edfa, and 6.020 for Raman-Edfa-Raman.

As shown in figure 3, BER increases with distance from $10^{-40}$ to $10^{-22.7501}$ for Raman-Edfa and $10^{-32}$ to $10^{-23}$ for Raman-Soa. Further for Soa-Edfa, BER increases from $10^{-29}$ to $10^{-21}$. The acceptable bit error rate (BER) for optical transmission is $1 \times 10^{-10}$. Means there is more distortion in the detected signal. The BER versus transmission distance is shown in figure. It is observed that by increasing the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, BER is also increasing.

In order to observe the performance of different hybrid amplifiers, the eye opening versus transmission distance are shown in figure 3. This graph shows that as we increase the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, the eye opening increases simultaneously from $0.007676$ to $1.61481 \times 10^{-09}$. The eye opening from different amplifiers versus transmission distance is shown in figure 3. Large eye opening means less BER and good communication.

As shown in fig 5 the power versus distance, power decreases with distance from $0.112 \times 10^{-06}$ to $0.231 \times 10^{-13}$ for Raman-Edfa, $0.314 \times 10^{-04}$ to $0.128 \times 10^{-10}$ for Raman-Soa, $0.143 \times 10^{-01}$ to $0.361 \times 10^{-08}$ for Soa-Edfa and $0.240 \times 10^{-01}$ to $0.712 \times 10^{-06}$ for Raman-Edfa-Raman for 16 channel. The acceptable power for optical transmission is 10 db. It is observed that by increasing distance from 60 to 260 km, power is also decreasing.
In order to observe the performance of different hybrid amplifiers, the quality factor versus transmission distance are shown in figure 6. This graph shows that as we increase the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, the quality factor decreases simultaneously. The quality factor decreases from 24.645 to 6.020 dB for Raman-Edfa, 19.495 to 7.52 dB for Raman-Soa, 19.58 to 12.157 for Soa-Edfa and 24.32 to 6.020 for Raman-Edfa-Raman.

In order to observe the performance of different hybrid amplifiers, the eye opening versus transmission distance are shown in figure 7. Large eye opening means less BER and good communication. This graph shows that as we increase the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, the eye opening increases simultaneously.

The BER versus transmission distance for different dispersion is shown in figure. It is observed that by increasing the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, BER is also increasing. The acceptable bit error rate (BER) for optical transmission is $1 \times 10^{-10}$.

In order to observe the performance of different hybrid amplifiers, the jitter versus transmission distance are shown in figure 3. This graph shows that as we increase the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, the jitter decreases and then increases simultaneously.
The power versus distance is shown in figure 4. Power decreases with distance from \(0.350 \times 10^{-03}\) db to \(0.163 \times 10^{-10}\) for 32 channels for Raman-Edfa. It is because of non-linearities and losses in the channel. The acceptable power for optical transmission is 10 db. It is observed that by increasing distance from 60 to 260 km, power is also decreasing.

This graph shows that as we increase the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, the quality factor decreases simultaneously because of the carrier density fluctuation and crosstalk in SOA. The quality factor decreases from 24.117 to 6.020 db for Raman-Edfa, 21.184 to 10.521 db for Raman-Soa, 22.105 to 15.321 for Soa-Edfa and 24.125 to 6.020 for Raman-Edfa-Raman.

The BER versus transmission distance for different dispersion is shown in figure. It is observed that by increasing the transmission distance from 60 to 260 km, BER is also increasing. As shown in figure 11, BER increases with distance from \(10^{-40}\) to \(0.0227501\) for Raman-Edfa and \(10^{-31}\) to \(0.000488\) for Raman-Soa. Further for Soa-Edfa, BER increases from \(10^{-37}\) to \(10^{-09}\).
Eye diagram of signal for 32 channel of SOA-EDFA at 60 kms and 240 kms distance shown in figure. The eye opening for distance 60 km is $1.7 \times 10^{-1}$ and for 240 km is $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ respectively.

Eye diagram of signal for SOA-EDFA at 60 km and 260 distances for 64 channels is shown in figure 3.13. The eye opening for distance 60 km is $9.3 \times 10^{-2}$ and $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ respectively.

IV. CONCLUSION

The performance of different hybrid amplifiers is compared at different distances. The result shows that SOA combined with EDFA allows the increase of maximum reachable distance and span length. In this SOA-EDFA showed good performance at all the channels as it can travel max distance. The proposed configuration consists of 16, 32 and 64 channels. Hybrid SOA-EDFA are enabling and promising for future as it can travel the maximum distance. It is observed through simulation that RAMAN-EDFA provides the best performance in terms of quality factor, ber, eye opening and jitter. But as the distance increases, the quality factor and power degrades whereas ber increases. In case of SOA-EDFA, covers maximum distance i.e. 220, 240 and 260 kms with variations in channel.
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