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Abstract—In order to evaluate the skin surface temperature (SSST) estimation accuracy with MODIS data, 84 of MODIS scenes together with the match-up data of NCEP/GDAS are used. Through regressive analysis, it is found that 0.305 to 0.417 K of RMSE can be achieved. Furthermore, it also is found that band 29 is effective for atmospheric correction (30.6 to 38.8\% of estimation accuracy improvement). If single coefficient set for the regressive equation is used for all the cases, SSST estimation accuracy is around 1.969 K so that the specific coefficient set for the five different cases have to be set.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The required skin sea surface temperature estimation accuracy is better than 0.25K for radiation energy budget analysis, global warming study and so on [1]. Skin sea surface temperature (SSST) is defined as the temperature radiation from the sea surface (approximately less than 20\mu m in depth from the surface) and is distinct with the Mixed layer sea surface temperature (MSST) based on the temperature radiation from just below the skin [2]. In order to estimate SSST, (a) atmospheric influences which are mainly due to water vapor followed by aerosols for the atmospheric window channels [3], (b) cloud contamination, (c) emissivity changes mainly due to white caps, or forms followed by limb darkening due to changes of path length in accordance with scanning angle changes should be corrected [4]-[8].

One of the atmospheric corrections is split window method which is represented by Multi Channel Sea Surface Temperature (MCST) estimation method [9]. In the MCSST method, 10\mu m of atmospheric window is split into more than two channels. The atmospheric influences are different among the split channels so that it is capable to estimate atmospheric influence by using the difference. Through a regressive analysis between the estimated SSST with acquired channels of satellite onboard thermal infrared radiometer (TIR) data and the corresponding match-up truth data such as buoy or shipment data (Bulk temperature) with some errors, all the required coefficients for the regressive equation are determined. Thus SSST is estimated with the regressive equation if the newly acquired TIR data is put into the regressive equation. On the other hand, the method for improvement of SSST estimation accuracy by means of linearized inversion of radiative transfer equation (RTE) is proposed [10] and also the method for solving RTE more accurately based on iterative method is proposed [11],[12]. RTE is expressed with Fred-Holm type of integral equation with a variety of parameters. Such RTE can be solved with linear and/or Non-Linear inversion methods. Integral equation can be linearized then RTE can be solved by using linear inversion method and also can be solved iteratively. The former and the later are called Linearized inversion and Non-Linear iteration, respectively.

In accordance with MODTRAN [13], the following 5 cases of the ocean areas (Latitude) and the seasons are selected. (a)Sub-Arctic Summer, (b)Sub-Arctic Winter, (c)Mid-Latitude Summer, (d)Mid-Latitude Winter, (e)Tropic. The regressive analysis is made by using a match-up data set of MODIS data and NCEP/GDAS (Global Data Assimilation Model [14], 1 degree mesh data of air temperature, relative humidity, ozone, cloud, precipitable water for the sphere with the altitude ranges from 1000 to 100 hPa) data. The regressive error in terms of Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and regressive coefficients are calculated.

Firstly, major specification of MODIS is introduced together with atmospheric characteristics such as transparency, water vapor profile and aerosol profile derived from MODTRAN. Secondly, the method for regressive analysis is described followed by the procedure of the preparation of match-up data derived from NCEP/GDAS and MODIS data together with cloud masking. Thirdly, the results from the regressive analysis are shown followed by the results from the comparative study on regressive equations. Finally, major conclusions are discussed.

II. PROPOSED METHOD

A. MODIS onboard Terra and Aqua satellites

MODIS/TIR onboard Terra and AQUA satellites is moderate spatial resolution (IFOV=1k m) of thermal infrared radiometer with the swath width of 2400 km and consists of 3
channels of radiometer which covers the wavelength region shown in Table 1.

B. Atmospheric Model Used

The atmospheric transmittance for the wavelength region for the typical atmospheric models in accordance with MODTRAN 4.0, Tropic, Mid-Latitude Summer and winter, Sub-Arctic Summer and winter are shown in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. Wavelength Coverage of MODIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cloud Properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 8.400 - 8.700 9.58(300K) 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface/Cloud Temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 10.780 - 11.280 9.55(300K) 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 11.770 - 12.270 8.94(300K) 0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1 Transmittance for the typical atmospheric models.

Fig2 The vertical profile of the water vapor for the typical atmospheric models.
In this calculation, aerosol type and meteorological range are set to rural and 23 km, respectively while the observation angle is set at 0 degree of zenith angle, namely, nadir looking situation. The vertical profiles of water vapor content in the atmosphere (in unit of atm cm/km) and aerosol extinction coefficient (in unit of km$^{-1}$) are shown in Fig.2 and 3, respectively.

The Tropic shows lowest transmittance followed by Mid-Latitude Summer, Sub-Arctic Summer, Mid-Latitude Winter and Sub-Arctic Winter while the Tropic shows largest water vapor content in the atmosphere followed by Mid-Latitude Summer, Sub-Arctic Summer, Mid-Latitude Winter and Sub-Arctic Winter.

The most dominant factor for atmospheric influence in this wavelength region is water vapor followed by aerosol so that vertical profiles have to be clarified. Relatively good MODIS data for the Sub-Arctic Winter could not be found so that (1) Tropic, (2) Mid-Latitude Summer, (3) Mid-Latitude Winter and (4) Sub-Arctic Summer were selected for the analysis.

C. Regressive Analysis

The following simple linear regressive equation between NCEP/GDAS derived SSST and the physical temperature estimated with MODIS Level 1B product of data is assumed,

$$\text{SSST} = C_1 + C_2 * T_{30} + C_3 * (T_{30} - T_{31}) + C_4 * (\sec(\theta) - 1) * (T_{30} - T_{31}) + C_5 * (T_{30} - T_{29}) * (\sec(\theta) - 1) * (T_{30} - T_{29})$$

where $T_i$ is the brightness temperature of the channel i, $\theta$ is the solar zenith angle. Through a regressive analysis, $C_i$ for each case is estimated as well as regression error, Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) which is corresponding to the SSST estimation error. In this connection, the swath width of MODIS is 60 km while the grid size of NCEP/GDAS is 1 degree so that the averaged $T_i$ over full scene of MODIS (2400 km) is calculated and put into the equation (1) together with the linearly interpolated NCEP/GDAS data at the scene center of the MODIS data which become SSST in the equation (1). In accordance with ATBD 25 (SST algorithm [15]), SST is estimated with the following equation,

$$\text{SST} = a_0 + a_1 T_1 + a_2 (T_1 - T_2) T_b + a_3 (\sec(\theta) - 1)$$

where $T_b$ is MCSST [9]. The proposed regressive equation uses band 29 of brightness temperature influenced by water vapor. It is very effective for atmospheric correction.

III. Experiments

The relations between MODIS derived SSST and NCEP/GDAS SSST for the typical atmospheric models of Tropic, Mid-Latitude Summer and winter and Sub-Arctic Summer are shown in Fig.4 to 7. In the experiments, 37, 18, 15 and 14 MODIS data which were acquired from 2000 to 2001 were used together with the match-up NCEP/GDAS data.
Figure 4 The relation between MODIS derived SSST and NCEP/GDAS SSST for Tropic ocean area.

Figure 5 The relation between MODIS derived SSST and NCEP/GDAS SSST for Mid-Latitude Summer of ocean area and season.
For all the ocean areas and seasons, in particular, for Tropic ocean area, it may concluded that MODIS derived SSST is lower than NCEP/GDAS derived SSST for the relatively high SSST portion while MODIS derived SSST is higher than that from NCEP/GDAS for the relatively low SSST portion. For the tropic ocean area, SSST ranges just from 298 K to 303 K while the ranges for the Mid-Latitude Summer and winter as well as Sub-Arctic Summer are 281-302 K, 280-299 K and 272-287 K, respectively.

It is also found that the effectiveness of band 29 on RMSE improvement for Tropic model is greatest followed Mid-Latitude Summer, Sub-Arctic Summer and Mid-Latitude Winter. This order is the same order of water vapor and aerosol content in the atmosphere as is shown in Fig.3 and 4.

IV. CONCLUSION

It is found that SSST estimation accuracy with MODIS data is better than 0.417 K for all the cases defined above. A comparison of SSST estimation accuracy among the cases is attempted. Through regressive analysis, it is found that 0.305 to 0.417 K of RMSE can be achieved.

www.ijacsa.thesai.org
Furthermore, it is also found that the effectiveness of exclusion of the band 29 of on RMSE degradation for Tropic model is greatest followed Mid-Latitude Summer, Sub-Arctic Summer and Mid-Latitude Winter. This order is the same order of water vapor and aerosol content in the atmosphere. RMSE of the regressive equation without band 29 ranges from 0.498 to 6.01 K. Thus it is concluded that band 29 is effective to atmospheric correction (water vapor absorption). The effect corresponds to 30.6 to 38.8% of SSST estimation accuracy improvement.

If single set of regressive coefficients for all the cases is used, then SSST estimation accuracy is around 1.969 K so that the regressive equations with the specific five different cases, Tropic, Mid-Lat. Summer and winter and Sub-Arctic Summer and winter have to be used.
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