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Abstract—Low Earth Orbit (LEO) satellites are used for public networking and for scientific purposes. Communication via satellite begins when the satellite is positioned in its orbital position. Ground stations can communicate with LEO satellites only when the satellite is in their visibility region. The duration of the visibility and the communication vary for each LEO satellite pass over the station, since LEO satellites move too fast over the Earth. The satellite coverage area is defined as a region of the Earth where the satellite is seen at a minimum predefined elevation angle. The satellite's coverage area on the Earth depends on orbital parameters. The communication under low elevation angles can be hindered by natural barriers. For safe communication and for savings within a link budget, the coverage under too low elevation is not always provided. LEO satellites organized in constellations act as a convenient network solution for real time global coverage. Global coverage model is in fact the complementary networking process of individual satellite's coverage. Satellite coverage strongly depends on elevation angle. To conclude about the coverage variation for low orbiting satellites at low elevation up to 10°, the simulation for attitudes from 600km to 1200km is presented through this paper.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Generally, satellite’ circular orbits are categorized as Geosynchronous Earth Orbits (GEO), Medium Earth Orbits (MEO) and Low Earth Orbits (LEO). The main difference among them is in the attitude above the Earth surface [1]-[3].

The satellites traversing in orbits of attitudes up to around 1400 km (limited by Van Allen belt [4]) are considered as LEO satellites. LEO satellites are moving at around 7.5 km/s velocity relative to a fixed point on the Earth (ground station) [5]. The characteristics of LEOs are: the shortest distance from the Earth compared with other orbits and consequently less time delay. These characteristics make them very attractive even for scientific applications or communications networking [5], [6].

The single satellite coverage area is defined as a region of the Earth where the satellite is seen at a minimum predefined elevation angle. For multi satellite coverage or global coverage the management policy for satellite coordination must be applied. For global coverage, handover and management policies become more critical under too low elevation because of natural barriers. Handover policies and management are well analyzed under [7] and [8].

Analysis of random coverage time in mobile LEO satellite communications is also well treated by [9].

This paper discusses the single LEO satellite coverage aspects as an overture to the global coverage. Some characteristics of LEO satellites are given followed by coverage geometry. Finally the results of coverage simulation under different attitudes from 600km to 1200km at low elevation are presented.

II. LEO SATELLITES AND COVERAGE

Microsatellites in Low Earth Orbits (LEO) have been in use for the past two decades, mainly dedicated for scientific purposes. LEO satellites have very wide scientific applications, from remote sensing of oceans, through analyses on Earth’s climate changes, Earth’s imagery with high resolution or astronomical purposes. These satellites provide opportunity for investigations for which alternative techniques are either difficult or impossible to be applied. Thus, it may be expected that such scientific missions will be further developed in the near future especially in fields where similar experiments by purely Earth-based means are impracticable. Ground stations have to be established in order to communicate with such satellites. Ground stations can communicate with LEO (Low Earth Orbiting) satellites only when the satellite is in their visibility region.

Satellites in these orbits have an orbital period of around (90-110) minutes. For satellites this is a short flyover period, which means that the antenna at the ground station must follow the satellite very fast with high pointing accuracy. The contact communication time between the satellite and the ground station takes (5-15) minutes 6-8 times during the day [6]. The Hubble Space Telescope, for example, operates at an altitude of about 610 km with an orbital period of 97 minutes [6]. Every satellite (especially, microsatellite when is dedicated for scientific purposes) carries special instruments that enable it to perform its mission [5] (for example, a satellite that studies the universe has a telescope, a satellite that helps forecast the weather carries cameras to track the movement of clouds).

On other hand from the communication perspective the goal of the future communication systems is to provide high quality broadband services with global coverage [10]. The satellite constellation is a convenient network solution for real time global coverage. The constellation is a system of low Earth orbit (LEO) identical satellites, launched in several orbital planes with the orbits having the same altitude. The
sate. Pulses move in a synchronized manner in trajectories relative to Earth. The application of low Earth orbit satellites organized in a constellation is an alternative to wireless telephone networks. Satellites in low orbits arranged in a constellation, work together by relaying information to each other and to the users on the ground. If satellites within a constellation are equipped with advanced on-board processing, they can communicate directly with each other by line of sight using inter-satellite links (ISL) [5].

To provide a global coverage to a diverse user population a number of LEO satellite networks have been proposed and implemented. The LEO satellite networks can support both the areas with terrestrial wireline and wireless networks that lack any network infrastructure [7]. Nowadays several LEO constellations (Globalstar, Iridium, Ellipso) are active and operational [7], [8]. For complete coverage of the Earth’s surface some overlapping between the adjacent satellites is necessary, to keep the continuity of real time services [9]. The global coverage can be considered as an interoperable complementary networking process of multiple satellites organized in a constellation, each of them contributing with its individual coverage. This is achieved because LEO satellites move with respect to a fixed observer on Earth surface, and along with satellite movement also the coverage area changes, making different belts, enabling global coverage. Individual satellite coverage for few LEO satellites is presented in Fig. 2.

When designing a satellite network some decisions such as the selection of the orbit parameters, coverage model, the network connectivity and routing model must be made. LEO satellite networks as Iridium, Teledesic, Globalstar, have architecture differences affecting the capabilities and services. The main problem for the global coverage is the handover process from one coverage area to another one. This is called satellite hand over process [7].

Different deterministic models for coverage time evaluation of low Earth orbiting satellite are developed. Models involve statistical coverage time assessments [8]. The analyses are particularly useful for probabilistic investigation of intersatellite handovers in LEO satellite networks [8]. The probability of service interruption and hand over mechanism becomes important for the overall system performance [9].

Achievements in antenna technology leaded to multibeam LEO systems where the footprint or coverage area is divided in many cells (multibeam arrays) in order to enhance frequency reuse policies. Applying space diversity policy is achieved frequency reuse inside a footprint. Handover from one cell to another is defined as cell handover. Particularly the interference problems have carefully to be treated [11] - [13].

III. LEO COVERAGE GEOMETRY

The position of the satellite within its orbit considered from the ground station point of view is defined by Azimuth (Az) and Elevation (e₀) angles. The azimuth is the angle of the direction of the satellite, measured in the horizon plane from geographical north in clockwise direction. The range of azimuth is 0° to 360°. The elevation is the angle between a satellite and the observer’s (ground station’s) horizon plane. The range of elevation is 0° to 90°.

The coverage area of a single satellite is a circular area (Fig. 2) on the Earth surface in which the satellite can be seen under an elevation angle equal or greater than the minimum elevation angle determined by the link budget requirements of the system. The largest coverage area is achieved under elevation of 0°, but in order to avoid obstacles caused by natural barriers at too low elevation, usually for the link budget calculations it is determined the minimal elevation angle which ranges on (2-10)°. For simulation purposes of coverage it is considered the elevations up to 10°.

The satellite’s coverage area on the Earth depends on orbital parameters. Ground stations (GS) can communicate with LEO (Low Earth Orbiting) satellites only when the ground station is under coverage area (satellite footprint) as presented in Fig. 3.

The duration of the visibility and consequently the communication duration vary for each LEO satellite pass over the ground station, since LEO satellites move too fast over the Earth. Along with satellite, the footprint moves also, leaving the GS out of the footprint and consequently loosing the communication with the GS, as presented in Fig. 4.
The basic geometry between a satellite and ground station is depicted in Fig. 5. The points indicate the satellite (SAT), ground station (P), and then the third is the Earth’s center. The line passing at point P represents horizon plane. The subsatellite point is indicated by T. Two sides of this triangle are usually known (the distance from the ground station to the Earth’s center, \( R_e \times 10^3 \) m and the distance from the satellite to Earth’s center-orbital radius). There are four variables in this triangle: \( \varepsilon_0 \) - is elevation angle, \( \alpha_0 \) - is nadir angle, \( \beta_0 \) - is central angle and \( d \) is slant range. As soon as two quantities are known, the others can be found with the following equations [14]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\varepsilon_0 + \alpha_0 + \beta_0 &= 90 \\
\cos \varepsilon_0 &= r \sin \beta_0 \\
\sin \alpha_0 &= R_e \sin \beta_0
\end{align*}
\]

Solving (4) by \( d \), substituting \( r = H + R_e \) at (5) and applying (1), (2), (3) finally we will get the slant range as function of elevation angle \( \varepsilon_0 \) [14].

\[
d(\varepsilon_0) = R_e \left[ \sqrt{\frac{H + R_e}{R_e}} \right] - \cos^2 \varepsilon_0 - \sin \varepsilon_0
\]

\( H \) is the satellite attitude above the Earth’s surface. Transforming Fig. 5 from the coverage point of view it looks like in Fig. 6.
In Fig. 6, there are two triangles. The larger one represents the case of full coverage under elevation of 0°. Generally, from the smaller triangle applying sinus theorem yields out:

\[ \sin \alpha_0 = \frac{\sin(90+\varepsilon_0)}{R_e + H} \]

(6)

\[ \sin \alpha_0 = \frac{R_e}{R_e + H} \cos \varepsilon_0 \]

(7)

The maximal coverage is achieved for \( \varepsilon_0 = 0° \), thus for known attitude \( H \), easy is calculated the coverage angle for maximal coverage, as:

\[ (\sin \alpha_0)_{\text{MAX} \cdot H} = \frac{R_e}{R_e + H} \]

(8)

Similarly, for different elevations \( (\varepsilon_0) \) can be calculated \( \alpha_0 \) and then based on (1) also \( \beta_0 \).

The surface of the coverage area depends on \( \beta_0 \) angle and it is [15]:

\[ S_{\text{Coverage}} = 2\pi R_e^2 (1 - \cos \beta_0) \]

(9)

Usually, the satellite coverage area or the satellite’s footprint is expressed (in percentage) as a fraction of the Earth’s area.

\[ \text{Coverage(\%)} = \frac{S_{\text{Coverage}}}{S_{\text{Earth}}} = \frac{2\pi R_e^2 (1 - \cos \beta_0)}{4\pi R_e^2} \]

(10)

\[ \text{Coverage(\%)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \beta_0) \]

(11)

IV. LEO COVERAGE SIMULATION

Based on (7), (8) and (11) it is obvious that the satellite coverage strongly depends on elevation angle. To conclude about the coverage variation for low orbiting satellites at low elevation, the simulation for attitudes from 600km up to 1200km is further discussed.

For a given satellite attitude \( H \) and a given elevation angle \( \varepsilon_0 \) firstly should be calculated \( \alpha_0 \), \( \beta_0 \) and finally the coverage based on (12). For attitudes of \( H=600\text{km}, 800\text{km}, 1000\text{km} \) and \( 1200\text{km} \) which are typical low orbit attitudes it is simulated and calculated the coverage area for elevation of (0-10)° by steps of 2°, and results are presented in Table I and Fig. 7.

Table I and Fig. 7 confirm the decrease of coverage area as elevation angle increases for the already defined attitude \( H \), and the increase of the coverage area as attitude \( H \) increases keeping the fixed elevation.
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**Fig. 7.** Coverage area variation for different attitudes at low elevation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orbital Attitude [km]</th>
<th>Coverage [%]</th>
<th>Coverage [%]</th>
<th>Coverage [%]</th>
<th>Coverage [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H 600 [km]</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>7.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 800 [km]</td>
<td>3.63</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>5.95</td>
<td>7.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1000 [km]</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>6.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H 1200 [km]</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>5.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 8.** LEO coverage area
Finally, in Fig. 8, applying satellite orbit analysis software is presented the case of simulated coverage area for synchronized orbits at an attitude of 600km for different inclination (few orbits) at elevation of 10°, as the smallest coverage area stemmed from the simulation considered in this paper. Small circles in Fig. 8 represent LEO coverage area on the Earth’s surface.

For the real time services the main problem due to global coverage remains the handover process from one coverage area to another one. For real time services it is too important the reliable communication what can be disturbed under to low elevation because of natural barriers. Our future work will be oriented on correlation of single coverage area with GIS (Geographic Information System) in order to have the exact information under which elevation the safe communication could be provided. The simulation tools will be essential part of foreseen future work.

CONCLUSION

The satellite’s coverage area on the Earth depends on orbital parameters and usually is expressed (in percentage) as a fraction of the Earth’s area. LEO satellites organized in constellations act as a convenient solution for real time global coverage. Satellite coverage strongly depends on elevation angle. The largest coverage area is achieved under elevation of 0°, but in order to avoid obstacles caused by natural barriers at too low elevation, usually for the link budget calculations it is determined the minimal elevation angle which ranges on (2-10)°.

Through simulation for typical LEO attitudes on range of (600-1200) km at low elevation of (0-10)°, it is confirmed that the fraction of Earth covered by satellites at appropriate attitudes is from 1.69% to 7.95%.
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