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Abstract—Quantitative evaluation based on amplitude modulation analysis of electroencephalographic signals is proposed for a brain computer interface paradigm. The method allows characterization of the interaction effects of different frequency bands in the electroencephalographic rhythms during motor tasks. A new index was proposed and computed to be a measure of the amplitude modulation. Built on this index, features vectors are established for training different classification algorithms. Signals recorded from 50 subjects revealed important differences in amplitude modulations between motor tasks. Most notably, Theta modulation of the Theta and Alpha rhythms proved to be reliable discriminant features between different mental tasks.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Brain computer interface (BCI) provides a new communication channel for people with motor disabilities using electroencephalographic signals (EEG) or other brain signals.

EEG is often used in BCI systems because it is not an invasive experiment, has no risk and has good time resolution.

The oscillatory activity in the EEG is classified according to rhythms: Delta (0.1–4 Hz), Theta (4–8 Hz), Alpha (8–12 Hz), Beta (12–30 Hz), and Gamma (30–60 Hz).

Motor imagery (MI) is a dynamic state during which a given action is mentally simulated by a subject [1]. Sensory stimulation, motor behavior, movement or only imagination of movement can modify the functional links in the cortex and cause an amplitude decrease in the EEG, called Event Related Desynchronization (ERD), or an increase in the amplitude of the signal, named Event Related Synchronization (ERS) of the Mu or Beta rhythm. The Mu rhythm is a normal central rhythm in Alpha frequency band. Mu waves appear in the sensorimotor (SM) cortex.

Different feature extraction methods are reported for discriminating the motor tasks in a BCI paradigm: spectral analysis [2], autoregressive methods [3], independent component analysis [4], [5], Itakura distance [4] and phase synchronization [4], [6].

The main objectives of the proposed method are to discriminate, extract and classify representative EEG features which can be used in brain computer interface motor imagery paradigm by means of an amplitude modulation analysis.

Studies conducted so far using amplitude modulation analysis have shown that there are differences between the EEG from healthy subjects and EEG from subjects diagnosed with Alzheimer's disease [7], [8]. In our case, the differences that appear during motor imagery tasks (left hand imagination, right hand imagination and relaxation) are studied.

It is researched the possibility of using a quantitative method of analyzing the EEG signal. Amplitude modulation analysis is computed for EEG signal rhythms and then some classification methods are applied.

Section II describes the dataset used, the methodology that includes the proposed method and the classification methods. The results are presented in section III, the discussions in section IV and the conclusions in section V.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

A. Dataset and Subjects

The database is formed by 50 EEG signals collected from 50 healthy volunteers, men and women, age range 19-59 years, untrained. The EEG signals were recorded with g.MOBILab+ portable acquisition system provided by g.tec Guger Technologies together with the BCI2000 platform. Eight electrodes (CP3, CP4, P3, P4, C3, C4, PZ and CZ) were placed on the volunteers scalp according to International 10-20 System. The reference electrode was linked on the right earlobe. Experimental protocol consisted of randomly right or left motor tasks and relaxation periods. The volunteers were comfortably seated on a seat in front of a PC monitor that displays left or right arrows. They must look carefully at the arrows and try to imagine the hand movement indicated by the arrow. When the screen is white, the subject needs to relax. Each arrow appears for 30 times. The time interval between visual stimuli was 2 seconds and the sampling frequency used was 256 Hz. Before the experiment, the subject is instructed not to speak, to move or to blink during the trials. The recordings took place on different days, under brightness conditions chosen by the volunteers. All volunteers provided written informed consent prior to the experiment.

B. Data Processing

Three datasets were created associated to the motor imagery tasks: one representing the EEG during the left hand
imagination, one representing EEG during the right hand imagination and one representing EEG during the relaxation.

The EEG signal \( x(n) \) is decomposed into three frequency bands (cerebral rhythms): 4 – 8 Hz (Theta rhythm), 8 - 12 Hz (Alpha rhythm), 12 - 30 Hz (Beta rhythm).

\[
x_i(n) = x(n) * h_i(n),
\]

(1)

Where \( h_i(n) \), \( i = 1,2,3 \) represents the impulse response of the applied bandpass filter corresponding to each frequency band. We justify later why there are only three rhythms used.

The Hilbert transform \( H \{ \cdot \} \) of \( x_i(n) \) signal is:

\[
H \{ x_i(n) \} = \frac{1}{\pi} P V \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{x_i(t)}{t-n} dt,
\]

(2)

where \( PV \) is the Cauchy principal value.

The analytical signal is denoted by:

\[
\overline{x_i(n)} = x_i(n) + jH \{ x_i(n) \}.
\]

(3)

The amplitude modulation \( e_i(n) \) of each cerebral rhythm is the modulus of \( x_i(n) \) [7, 8]:

\[
e_i(n) = \sqrt{x_i(n)^2 + H \{ x_i(n) \}^2}.
\]

(4)

The \( e_i(n) \) is multiplied by a 5 s Hamming window which is shifted across the data set with a step of 0.5 s. The amplitude modulation for frame \( m \) is represented as \( e_i(m,n) \), where \( n \) is the time variable.

For each rhythm \( i \) the absolute value of the Fourier transform is computed for each sub band \( j \).

\[
E_i(m,f) = |F \{ e_i(m,n) \}|
\]

(5)

where \( F \{ e_i(m,n) \} \) is the discrete Fourier transform of the temporal envelope for each frame \( e_i(m,n) \) and \( f \) denotes modulation frequency.

In order to quantify the change of the temporal envelope and the potential frequency interactions, the modulation frequencies are subsequently arranged to coincide with the first four conventional frequency ranges from Delta to Beta.

Gamma band modulation frequencies (30-60 Hz) can only be present for gamma rhythm. Therefore, for this reason, but also to reduce the amount of data, the modulation band corresponding to gamma rhythm is not taken into account in this type of analysis. The Delta rhythm is not taken into consideration because there is only one situation (the modulation band corresponding to the Delta rhythm consisting of the lowest frequencies 0.1-4 Hz). Therefore, we work with three rhythms and four modulation bands, which lead to nine options. Assigning the band modulation rhythm notation (e. g. Delta_Theta represents modulation in Delta band of the Theta rhythm), all the possibilities are depicted in Table 1.

With the aim of quantifying the weight of the different modulation frequencies in the cerebral rhythms, a new parameter called Modulation index is proposed:

\[
\text{(Modulation index)}_{ij} = \frac{E_{ij}(m)}{\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} E_{ij}(m)}
\]

(6)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I.</th>
<th>MODULATION BANDS CORRESPONDING TO THE CEREBRAL RHYTHMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rhythm</td>
<td>Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta</td>
<td>Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta</td>
<td>Delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha</td>
<td>Delta</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( E_{ij}(m) \) is the average on all frames (resulting from the segmentation of the EEG signal with the Hamming sliding-window) of the Fourier transform moduli corresponding to the modulation frequency band \( j \) of the rhythm \( i \), and \( \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{j=1}^{4} E_{ij}(m) \) is the sum of all Fourier transform module averages for all rhythms and for all possible modulation bands.

C. Features Extraction, Selection and Data Classification

Discriminations between the left and the right motor activity (left-right), the left motor activity and relaxation (Left-Right), the right motor activity and relaxation (Right-Relaxation) are evaluated with five classifiers: linear discriminant analysis (LDA) [9], quadratic discriminant analysis (QDA) [10], Mahalanobis distance (MD) [11], k nearest neighbor (kNN) [12] and support vector machine (SVM) [13]. A 10x10 fold cross validation method estimate the classification rates.

The aims of the research concern in finding the modulation band/s related to a specific cerebral rhythm and the classifier/s which best discriminate between the classes (left - right, left-relaxation or right - relaxation).

III. RESULTS

The implementation of the proposed method is in MATLAB.

There are already mentioned the three cases under study, namely left-right, left-relaxation and right-relaxation.

![Fig. 1. EEG Alpha Rhythm from Channel C3 (blue) and Theta Amplitude Modulation (red) for Subject SL27i when he Imagines the Left Hand Movement.](image-url)
The green cells from Table II draw attention to the situations when the number of classification rates higher or equal to 80% exceeds a proper (high enough) value, considered by us being equal to 19. It is obvious that many cases are related to Theta rhythm, both for Delta and Theta modulation. There are no noteworthy differences for the three cases reported (left-right, left-relaxation and right-relaxation). Also, in the Alpha rhythm and modulation in Theta and Alpha bands, in the right-relaxation case, there are a significant number of classification rates greater than 80%. So, for Theta_Alg, the classification rates are above 80% for almost a half of the subjects (23 from 50 subjects). In the left-relaxation case, high values are obtained for Theta and Delta modulation bands. It is worth noticing that low values are achieved for left-right (8 or 9 from 50 subjects). So we conclude that in left-right paradigm the Alpha rhythm modulations are presented in few persons, so we ignore it.

In order to evaluate the results attained for each classifier, there are considered only those green marked situations in Table II.

The results for left-right case are illustrated in Fig. 3 where only the Theta rhythm is under study.

It is easy to observe that the best results are achieved by means of LDA, QDA and SVM classifiers.

The results for left-relaxation are gathered in Fig. 4. The modulations of Theta and Alpha rhythms are considered.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modulation_Rhythm</th>
<th>left-right</th>
<th>left-relaxation</th>
<th>right-relaxation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delta_Theta</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta_Theta</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta_Alpha</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta_Alpha</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha_Alpha</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta_Beta</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theta_Beta</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alpha_Beta</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta_Beta</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. THE NUMBER OF THE MAXIMA OF THE CLASSIFICATION RATES HIGHER OR EQUAL TO 80%
LDA, QDA and SVM are the best classifiers also in the case presented in Fig. 4.

The results for right-relaxation are drawn together in Fig. 5. As in the previous case, the modulations of Theta and Alpha rhythms are taken into consideration.

As in the two cases, the best results are found for LDA, QDA and SVM classifiers.

For further considerations, we have to mention that for Theta_Theta, in left-right case, there are 7 classification rates upper 90%, and one with 100%.

As for all the cases, LDA, QDA and SVM classifiers lead to high classification rates, in order to look deeper into and to study the distributional characteristics, the box plots are used.

Fig. 6 illustrates the box plots for LDA classifier for the left-right case, Left-relaxation and Right-relaxation cases (Delta_Theta and Theta_Theta).

In Fig. 7 the box plots for LDA classifier for the left-right case, left-relaxation and right-relaxation cases (Delta_Alpha Theta_Alpha and Alpha_Alpha) are presented.

Fig. 8 shows the box plots for QDA classifier for the left-right case, left-relaxation and right-relaxation cases (Delta_Theta and Theta_Theta).

In Fig. 9 the box plots for QDA classifier for the left-right case, left-relaxation and right-relaxation cases (Delta_Alpha Theta_Alpha and Alpha_Alpha) are displayed.
Fig. 10. The Box Plots for SVM Classifier for the Left-Right Case, Left-Relaxation and Right-Relaxation Cases (Delta_Theta and Theta_Theta).

Fig. 11. The Box Plots for SVM Classifier for the Left-Right Case, Left-Relaxation and Right-Relaxation Cases (Delta_Alpha Theta_Alpha and Alpha_Alpha).

Fig. 10 and Fig. 11 indicate the box plots for SVM classifier for the left-right case, left-relaxation and right-relaxation cases (Delta_Theta and Theta_Theta) and (Delta_Alpha Theta_Alpha and Alpha_Alpha) respectively.

When the midpoint and spread of the classification rates are examined, we can observe that the lowest medians (lower than 60%) are for all the classifiers, for the left-right case in Alpha rhythm, for all amplitude modulation bands (Delta, Theta and Alpha). The medians are about 70% in almost all the other situations. The spread is evaluated by the interquartile range box (which represents the middle 50% of the data) and by the whiskers. Good results from this point of view are attained both for LDA and QDA classifiers, for right-relaxation situation, in Theta rhythm modulated by Theta band. Here the interquartile range is between 58 % and 80 % classification rate and there are no outliers. For LDA, even better results are attained for left-right case for Theta_Theta or Delta_Theta when the interquartile range is between 63 % and 80%, but there are two outliers. For all the situations where good results are reported, the whiskers extend to 100 %.

IV. DISCUSSIONS

We have to mention that it is impossible to make direct comparisons to other results reported on public EEG databases, because herein our own database has been handled. In a future work, we intend to validate the amplitude modulation analysis on the available public databases, especially as the EEG signals are recorded on more than 8 channels and better outcomes might be attained.

But comparisons must be done with related works. So, to assess the benefits of the proposed method by means of the amplitude modulation metric (Modulation_index) we do compare our findings with the results from [4] and [12], validated on the same database.

In [4], where Itakura distance based method, phase synchronization methods and independent component analysis are used, the classification rates are approximately the same with the actual results and most of classification rates greater than 80% are obtained with QDA, kNN and SVM. Phase synchronization metric conducted to the lowest classification rates (about 60%) and symmetric Itakura distance to the highest ones (about 90%).

By means of wavelet (Coiflet 4 and Daubenchies 2) coefficients and kNN classifiers, in [12], the results were between 68% and 91% (only one subject).

So, the herein results (7 classification rates better than 90% and one value of 100%) outperform those from [4], [12].

In order to improve the rate of classification for all the subjects, we will try to propose a further index/parameter which would better characterize the neuromodulatory activity of the brain, maybe to combine with other features and to employ also other classifiers such as Deep Neural Network (DNN) [14].

It is worth to mention that it is important to have trained subjects. So, we will develop a new database with many sessions of recordings.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The method highlights the frequency bands the cerebral rhythms in a motor task based paradigm are modulated with and provides additional information over conventional methods.

EEG amplitude modulation analysis has revealed the best result concerning classification rate (discriminative patterns) between imagination of right hand movement and relaxation period when the Theta rhythm is modulated with Theta band using LDA and QDA classifiers and between imagination of right and left hand movement when the Theta rhythm is modulated with Theta or Delta bands, working with LDA classifier.

The results showed that EEG activity both for Theta and Alpha rhythms are modulated by the Theta band in a different manner when a person imagine the right hand movement, or left hand movement or he/she relax.

We can conclude that the proposed method is helpful in separating motor tasks for a BCI paradigm.
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