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Abstract—This paper presents the results of our research in silent speech recognition (SSR) using Surface Electromyography (sEMG); which is the technology of recording the electric activation potentials of the human articulatory muscles by surface electrodes in order to recognize speech. Though SSR is still in the experimental stage, a number of potential applications seem evident. Persons who have undergone a laryngectomy, or older people for whom speaking requires a substantial effort, would be able to mouth (vocalize) words rather than actually pronouncing them. Our system has been trained with 30 utterances from each of the three subjects we had on a testing vocabulary of 4 phrases, and then tested for 15 new utterances that were not part of the training list. The system achieved an average of 91.11% word accuracy when using Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier while the base language is English, and an average of 89.44% word accuracy using the Standard Arabic language.

Keywords—Surface Electromyography; Support Vector Machine; Hidden Markov Models; Silent Speech Recognition

I. INTRODUCTION

Automatic speech recognition (ASR) is a computer-based speech-to-text process, in which speech is recorded by acoustical microphones by capturing air pressure changes. ASR has now matured to a point where it is successfully deployed in a wide variety of everyday life applications, including telephone based services and speech-driven applications on all sorts of mobile personal digital devices [1]-[2].

Despite this success, speech-driven technologies still face two major challenges: first, recognition performance degrades significantly in the presence of noise. Second, confidential and private communication in public places is difficult due to the clearly audible speech. But most importantly, the performance is poor if the system having any form of speech disabilities [1]-[2].

Coming from a relative experience, elder people suffer a lot while speaking, and talking becomes a very challenging task that they have to face on a daily basis. Also, people who have undergone a laryngectomy which is surgical removal of the larynx due to cancer suffer a lot to communicate with others. These facts have motivated us to investigate the possibility of developing a Silent-Speech Recognition system (SSR) which will be able to recognize phrases that describe the basic needs of a person especially if he's spending most of his time in a care/nursing home.

The proposed approach for our project is by using the surface ElectroMyoGraphy (EMG); which stands for the technique concerned with the recording and analysis of electric signals taken from articulatory muscles using surface electrodes [2-4]. In contrast to many other technologies, EMG is a low cost, non-invasive, and portable technology.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give an overview of previous related works. Section 3 provides a brief introduction about our methodology (sEMG) and presents our data acquisition, and section 4 presents our experiments and results. In section 5, we conclude the paper and propose possible future work.

II. RELATED WORKS

Research in the area of sEMG-based speech recognition has only a short history. Jorgensen et al. [2] investigated the recognition of non audible speech. Their idea is to intercept nervous signal control signals sent to speech muscles using surface EMG electrodes placed on the larynx and sublingual areas below the jaw. Initially, they demonstrated the potential of non-audible speaker dependent isolated word recognition based on the MES with a Neural Network classifier. They reported recognition rates of 92% for six control words and of 73% on an extended vocabulary which additionally contains the ten English digits.

More recently, there have been some serious efforts to enhance EMG based speech recognition and to make it user-independent as well as open vocabulary [3-5].

III. SEMG BASICS

The abbreviation EMG stands for Electro (electric), Myo (muscle), Graphy (writing). ElectroMyoGraphy is a technology
that allows to measure and record the electrical activity of the muscles and the nerve cells that control them (motor neurons). The last transmit electrical signals at the movement of the muscle and an EMG translates it into graphs, or numerical data [6].

Fig. 1. Measuring an EMG signal

Surface EMG refers to the same process but using surface (non-implemented) electrodes. These electrodes work as a camera that transmits to us the electrical activity of the muscle. Since the amplitude of the signal can range from 0 to 1.5 mV (rms), an amplifier is needed. Amplified electrical signals are then fed into electronic devices for further processing [7]. However, because the EMG signal is based upon action potentials at the muscle membrane; a differential amplifier subtracts the signals from two detection sites and amplifies the difference voltage [8]. Consequently, any signal that originates far away from the detection site will appear as common signal - will have zero output- and thus removed, while the useful information carried out by the EMG signal will be different from both sites and thus amplified.

IV. sEMG Setup & DATA CORPUS

sEMG Setup:

For sEMG recording, we used the MP system from BIOPAC Systems, Inc. the MP150 system serves as a data acquisition unit that converts analog signals (speech) into digital signals for further processing [9]. The Universal Interface Module UIM100C was used as the main interface between the MP150 and the external devices which for the purpose of our research has been the Electromyogram amplifier. At the early stage of our research, four EMG100C amplifiers have been used to amplify the electrical activity at four different detection sites. The EMG’s have been connected to Ag-AgCl lead electrodes that can be directly attached to the skin of the user.

The electrodes positions have been adopted from (Maier-Hein et al., [4,5]). The channels captures signals from the levatorangulisoris (EMG2 & 3), the zygomaticus major (EMG2 & 3), the platysma (EMG4), and the orbicularis (EMG5). Later on, our research focused on investigating the performance of the system using only one channel which has been positioned with classical bipolar configuration with a 2cm center-to-center electrode spacing was used as shown in EMG2. The common ground reference has been connected to the wrist.

For the purpose of impedance reduction at the electrode-skin junction a small amount of electrode gel was applied to each electrode.

The gain of the amplifiers has been set to 2000. The usable energy of the signal is limited to 0 to 500 Hz frequency range, thus, 1000Hz has been chosen as our sampling frequency, and a low pass filter with a cut-off frequency at 500Hz was used. To remove motion artifacts, a high-pass filter with cut-off frequency of 10Hz was used. Finally, all signals have been filtered with a notch filter at line frequency of 50 Hz because it is to be considered a dominant source of electrical noise.

A. Data Corpus:

All signal data used for our experiments was collected in so-called recording sessions. A recording session is defined as a set of utterances collected in series by one particular speaker. All settings (no. of channels, sampling rate, speech mode) remain constant during all sessions. For our research, three subjects that varied in age, nationality, and thus mother-tongue
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with no known speech disorders participated to construct our database. In all sessions, the subject has been asked to pronounce the phrases non-audibly, i.e. without producing any sound. In this research, isolated-word recognition was performed. Thus, a word list was selected containing all phrases a speaker need to record during each session. The list can optionally be randomized. The phrases in this list have been chosen carefully to serve the focus of our project which has been elderly people who spend most of their time in a nursing home. The list consisted of the following four phrases:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The four phrases in English and Arabic Languages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English language</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I feel dizzy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Take me outside</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I want to go to the toilet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I need water</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From each subject, a total of forty-five utterances have been collected making sure that each is not bounded by any silence at the beginning or end of it. The subject would start recording each phrase at a sign from our team, and he has been asked to repeat the phrase at each repetition of the sign.

Since any slight changes in electrodes position, temperature or tissue properties may alter the signal significantly. So, in order to make comparisons of amplitudes possible, we needed to apply a normalization procedure at each recording that compensates for these changes. A simple approach that we followed was to find the maximum of the absolute value of each utterance and divide the whole utterance by it.

V. DATA TRAINING

To ensure comparability of results from different experiments the same number of samples was used for each classifier for training stage, namely thirty exemplars of each phrase. Throughout our research, we used two classifiers:

A. Hidden Markov Model (HMM) Modeling technique

First order HMMs with Gaussian mixture models are used in most conventional speech recognition systems as classifiers because they are able to cope with both, variance in the time-scale and variance in the shape of the observed data. Each phrase in the list has been trained using a seven state left-to-right Hidden Markov Model with 3 Gaussians per state using the Expectation Maximization (EM) algorithm [10]. The number of iterations was chosen to be N=20.

To recognize an unknown signal the corresponding sequence of feature vectors was computed. Next, the Viterbi alignment for each vocabulary word was determined and the word corresponding to the best Viterbi score was output as the hypothesis. Feature extraction, HMM training, and signal recognition were performed using the Hidden Markov Model Toolkit (HTK) [11]. For this reason, a conversion of the cropped, cleaned, and normalized utterances to wave files was needed.

B. Support Vector Machine Classifier

SVMs are widely used as soft margin classifiers that find separating hyperplanes with maximal margins between classes in high dimensional space [12]. A kernel function is used to describe the distance between two data points. In order to find some statistically relevant information from incoming data, it is important to have mechanisms for reducing the information of each audio signal into a relatively small number of parameters, or segments. The classifier has been trained and tested with pre-segmented data with number of segments chosen to be N=20. Since each input signal was of different length, the segmentation procedure was as follows; first finding the length of each input signal, divide it by 20 to know the exact number that will produce 20 segments out of each input signal, and lastly dividing the signal by that number. After segmenting the utterances, we transformed each segment into frequency spectrum with FFT to extract some features. Feature extraction is the process of transforming raw signals into more informative signatures or fingerprints. We extracted the mean and the variance out of each segment, and then concatenated all features as one vector of attributes. All this segmentation and feature extraction part has been done using MATLAB [13-14].

The following block diagram represents the whole process of our work flow with its main steps.
VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Since we used thirty utterances from each subject for the training, we have been left with fifteen utterances for the test. The results varied between each phrase and among speakers. The results have been as follows:

A. Base Language: English

Our initial experiments have been conducted using HMM, and the results for each subject and each phrase were as shown in Figure 4 below. Although for few phrases the recognition was poor, the system achieved an average performance for all three subjects of 76.663%.

Fig. 4. Results using HMM for English system

Secondly, we investigated the performance of the system using SVM, and a clear improvement has been seen for all subjects. The system achieved an average of 91.11% word accuracy. The results in detail are shown in Figure 5.

Fig. 5. Results using SVM for English system

B. Base Language: Arabic

Since studies and researches of Arabic-based SSR systems are poor compared to other similar languages, we have been motivated and curious to investigate and develop an Arabic-based system.

For the same number of test utterances used to examine the English system, a similar one was used for the Arabic. We have also checked the performance of the system using the same two classifiers and the results using HMM were as shown in Figure 6.
VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have presented in this paper the results of our work for developing an isolated word Silent Speech Recognition System for both Arabic and English words. The technology is based on Surface Electromyography; which is capturing and recording of electrical potentials that arise from the muscle activity using surface electrodes attached to the skin. The concept of our work is still in the research area, so this work can be seen as a feasibility study. Moreover, we have investigated several state-of-the-art tools to check the performance of our system; such as: Hidden Markov Model and Support Vector Machine classifiers. Our experimental results indicate the effectiveness and efficiency of our proposed whole-sentence recognition system mainly using SVM algorithm in contrast to HMM classifier.

For the English system, an average of 91.11% word accuracy has been obtained when using SVM compared to the 76.66% obtained using HMM. Likewise, the Arabic system has achieved an average performance of 89.44% when using SVM compared to the 78.89% obtained while using HMM.

Though the obtained results are encouraging, this research does not claim completeness and it has lots of room for improvements. For example, Comparative experiments indicate that applying more than one electrode is crucial in order to construct a more robust system. Also, to demonstrate the potentials of this technology, EMG based speech recognition should move beyond isolated-word speech recognition and approach continuously spoken large vocabulary tasks.
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Abstract—Most of the real-life applications have many constraints and they are considered as constrained optimization problems (COPs). In this paper, we present a new hybrid genetic differential evolution algorithm to solve constrained optimization problems. The proposed algorithm is called hybrid genetic differential evolution algorithm for solving constrained optimization problems (HGDESCOP). The main purpose of the proposed algorithm is to improve the global search ability of the DE algorithm by combining the genetic linear crossover with a DE algorithm to explore more solutions in the search space and to avoid trapping in local minima. In order to verify the general performance of the HGDESCOP algorithm, it has been compared with 4 evolutionary based algorithms on 13 benchmark functions. The experimental results show that the HGDESCOP algorithm is a promising algorithm and it outperforms other algorithms.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Evolutionary algorithms (EAs) have been widely used to solve many unconstrained optimization problems [1], [3], [10], [15]. EAs are unconstrained search algorithms and take a technique to handle the constraints in the constrained optimization problems (COPs). There are different techniques to handle constraints in EAs, these techniques have been classified by Michalewicz [13] as follows. Methods based on penalty functions, methods based on the rejection of infeasible solutions, methods based on repair algorithms, methods based on specialized operators and methods based on behavioral memory.

Differential evolutionary algorithm (DE) is one of the most widely used evolutionary algorithms (EAs) introduced by Stron and Price [17]. Because of the success of DE in solving unconstrained optimization problems, it attracts many researchers to apply it with their works to solve constrained optimization problems (COPs) [2], [18], [19]. In this paper, we proposed a new hybrid algorithm in order to solve constrained optimization problems. The proposed algorithm is called hybrid genetic differential evolution algorithm for solving constrained optimization problems (HGDESCOP). The HGDESCOP algorithm starts with an initial population consists of NP individuals, the initial population is evaluated using the objective function. At each generations, the new offspring is created by applying the DE mutation. In order to increase the global search behavior of the proposed algorithm and explore wide area of the search space, a genetic algorithm linear crossover operator is applied. In the last stage of the algorithm, the greedy selection is applied in order to accept or reject the trail solutions. These operations are repeated until the termination criteria satisfied.

The main objective of this paper is to construct an efficient algorithm which seeks optimal or near-optimal solutions of a given objective function for constrained problems by combining the genetic linear crossover with a DE algorithm to explore more solutions in the search space and to avoid trapping in local minima.

The reminder of this paper is organized as fellow. The problem definition and an overview of genetic algorithm and differential evolution are given in Section II. In Section III, we explain the proposed algorithm in detail. The numerical experimental results are presented in Section IV. Finally, The conclusion of the paper is presented in Section V.

II. PROBLEM DEFINITION AND OVERVIEW OF GENETIC ALGORITHM AND DIFFERENTIAL EVOLUTION ALGORITHM

In the following section and subsections, we give an overview of the constraint optimization problem and we highlight the penalty function technique, which are used to convert the constrained optimization problems to unconstrained optimization problems. Finally, we present the standard genetic algorithm and deferential evolutionary algorithm.

A. Constrained optimization problems

A general form of a constrained optimization is defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Minimize} & \quad f(x), \quad x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)^T, \\
\text{Subject to} & \quad g_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m \\
& \quad h_j(x) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, l \\
& \quad x_l \leq x_i \leq x_u
\end{align*}
\]

Where \( f(x) \) is the objective function, \( x \) is the vector of \( n \) variables, \( g_i(x) \leq 0 \) are inequality constraints, \( h_j(x) = 0 \) are equality constraints, \( x_l, x_u \) are variables bounds. In this paper, we used the penalty function technique to solve constrained optimization problems [11]. The following subsection gives more details about the penalty function technique.
1) The Penalty function technique: The penalty function technique is used to transform the constrained optimization problems to unconstrained optimization problem by penalizing the constraints and forming a new objective function as follow:

\[
f(x) = \begin{cases} 
  f(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{feasible region} \\
  f(x) + \text{penalty}(x) & \text{if } x \notin \text{feasible region.}
\end{cases}
\] (2)

Where,

\[
\text{penalty}(x) = \begin{cases} 
  0 & \text{if no constraint is violated} \\
  1 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

There are two kinds of points in the search space of the constrained optimization problems (COP), feasible points which satisfy all constraints and unfeasible points which violate at least one of the constraints. At the feasible points, the penalty function value is equal to the value of objective function, but at the infeasible points the penalty function value is equal to a high value as shown in Equation 2. In this paper, a non stationary penalty function has been used, which the values of the penalty function are dynamically changed during the search process. A general form of the penalty function as defined in [21] as follows:

\[
F(x) = f(x) + h(k)H(x), \quad x \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^n,
\] (3)

Where \( f(x) \) is the objective function, \( h(k) \) is a non stationary (dynamically modified) penalty function, \( k \) is the current iteration number and \( H(x) \) is a penalty factor, which is calculated as follows:

\[
H(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \theta(q_i(x))q_i(x)^\gamma(q_i(x))
\] (4)

Where \( q_i(x) = \max(0, g_i(x)), i = 1, \ldots, m \), \( g_i \) are the constraints of the problem, \( q_i \) is a relative violated function of the constraints, \( \theta(q_i(x)) \) is the power of the penalty function, the values of the functions \( h(.) \), \( \theta(.) \) and \( \gamma(.) \) are problem dependant. We applied the same values, which are reported in [21].

The following values are used for the penalty function:

\[
\gamma(q_i(x)) = \begin{cases} 
  1 & \text{if } q_i(x) < 1, \\
  2 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

Where the assignment function was

\[
\theta(q_i(x)) = \begin{cases} 
  10 & \text{if } q_i(x) < 0.001, \\
  20 & \text{if } 0.001 \leq q_i(x) < 0.1, \\
  100 & \text{if } 0.1 \leq q_i(x) < 1, \\
  300 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
\]

and the penalty value \( h(t) = t \star \sqrt{t} \).

B. An overview of genetic algorithm

Genetic algorithm (GA) was introduced by Holland [8]. The basic principles of GA are inspired from the principles of life which were first described by Darwin [4]. GA starts with a number of individuals (chromosomes) which form a population. After randomly creating of the population, the initial population is evaluated using fitness function. The selection operator is start to select highly fit individuals with high fitness function score to create new generation. Many type of selection have been developed like roulette wheel selection, tournament selection and rank selection [12]. The selected individuals are going to mating pool to generate offspring by applying crossover and mutation. Crossover operator is applied to the individuals in the mating pool to produces two new offspring from two parents by exchanging substrings. The most common crossover operators are one point crossover [8], two point crossover [12], uniform crossover [12]. The parents are selected randomly in crossover operators by assign a random number to each parent, the parent with random number lower than or equal the probability of crossover ration \( P \) is always selected. Mutation operators are important for local search and to avoid premature convergence. The probability of mutation \( p_m \) must be selected to be at a low level otherwise mutation would randomly change too many alleles and the new individual would have nothing in common with its parents. The new offspring is evaluated using fitness function, these operations are repeated until termination criteria stratified, for example number of iterations. The main structure of genetic algorithm is presented in Algorithm 1

**Algorithm 1 The structure of genetic algorithm**

1. Set the generation counter \( t := 0 \).
2. Generate an initial population \( P^0 \) randomly.
3. Evaluate the fitness function of all individuals in \( P^0 \).
4. repeat
5. \quad Set \( t = t + 1 \). \{ Generation counter increasing \}.
6. \quad Select an intermediate population \( P^t \) from \( P^{t-1} \).
7. \quad \{ Selection operator \}.
8. \quad Associate a random number \( r \) from \( (0, 1) \) with each row in \( P^t \).
9. \quad if \( r < p_c \) then
10. \quad \quad Apply crossover operator to all selected pairs of \( P^t \).
11. \quad \quad Update \( P^t \).
12. \quad \end if \{ Crossover operator \}.
13. \quad Associate a random number \( r_1 \) from \( (0, 1) \) with each gene in each individual in \( P^t \).
14. \quad if \( r_1 < p_m \) then
15. \quad \quad Mutate the gene by generating a new random value for the selected gene with its domain.
16. \quad \quad Update \( P^t \).
17. \quad \end if \{ Mutation operator \}.
18. Evaluate the fitness function of all individuals in \( P^t \).
19. until Termination criteria satisfied.

**1) Liner crossover operator:** HGDESCOP uses a linear crossover [20] in order to generate a new offspring to substitute their parents in the population. The main steps of the linear crossover is shown in Procedure 1.

**Procedure 1: Linear Crossover\( (p^1, p^2) \)**

1. Generate three offspring \( c^1 = (c^1_1, \ldots, c^1_D) \), \( c^2 = (c^2_1, \ldots, c^2_D) \) and \( c^3 = (c^3_1, \ldots, c^3_D) \) from parents
\[ p^1 = (p^1_1, \ldots, p^1_D) \text{ and } p^2 = (p^2_1, \ldots, p^2_D), \text{ where} \]
\[ c^1_i = \frac{1}{2} p^1_i + \frac{1}{2} p^2_i, \]
\[ c^2_i = \frac{3}{2} p^1_i - \frac{1}{2} p^2_i, \]
\[ c^3_i = 2 p^1_i - \frac{1}{2} p^2_i, \]
\[ i = 1, \ldots, D. \]

2. Choose the two most promising offspring of the three to substitute their parents in the population.
3. Return.

C. An overview of differential evolution algorithm

Differential evolution algorithm (DE) proposed by Storn and Price in 1997 [17]. In DE, the initial population consists of number of individuals, which is called a population size \( NP \). Each individual in the population size is a vector consists of \( D \) dimensional variables and can be defined as follows:

\[ x_i^{(G)} = \{x_{i,1}^{(G)}, x_{i,2}^{(G)}, \ldots, x_{i,D}^{(G)}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, NP. \]  

(5)

Where \( G \) is a generation number, \( D \) is a problem dimensional number and \( NP \) is a population size. DE employs mutation and crossover operators in order to generate a trail vectors, then the selection operator starts to select the individuals in new generation \( G+1 \). The overall process is presented in details as follows:

1) **Mutation operator:** Each vector \( x_i \) in the population size create a trail mutant vector \( v_i \) as follows.

\[ v_i^{(G)} = \{v_{i,1}^{(G)}, v_{i,2}^{(G)}, \ldots, v_{i,D}^{(G)}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, NP. \]  

(6)

DE applied different strategies to generate a mutant vector as fellows:

\[ DE/rand/1: \quad v_i^{(G)} = x_{r_1}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r_2}^{(G)} - x_{r_3}^{(G)}) \]  

(7)

\[ DE/best1: \quad v_i^{(G)} = x_{best}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r_1}^{(G)} - x_{r_2}^{(G)}) \]  

(8)

\[ DE/currenttobest1: \quad v_i^{(G)} = x_i^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{best}^{(G)} - x_i) + F \cdot (x_{r_1}^{(G)} - x_{r_2}^{(G)}) \]  

(9)

\[ DE/best2: \quad v_i^{(G)} = x_{best}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r_1}^{(G)} - x_{r_2}^{(G)}) + F \cdot (x_{r_3}^{(G)} - x_{r_4}^{(G)}) \]  

(10)

\[ DE/rand/2: \quad v_i^{(G)} = x_{r_1}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r_2}^{(G)} - x_{r_3}^{(G)}) + F \cdot (x_{r_4}^{(G)} - x_{r_5}^{(G)}) \]  

(11)

where \( r_d, d = 1, 2, \ldots, 5 \) represent random integer indexes, \( r_d \in [1, NP] \) and they are different from \( i \). \( F \) is a mutation scale factor. \( F \in [0, 2] \). \( x_{best}^{(G)} \) is the best vector in the population in the current generation \( G \).

2) **Crossover operator:** A crossover operator starts after mutation in order to generate a trail vector according to target vector \( x_i \) and mutant vector \( v_i \) as follows:

\[ u_{i,j} = \begin{cases} v_{i,j}, & \text{if } rand(0,1) \leq CR \text{ or } j = j_{rand} \,  \\ x_{i,j}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(12)

Where \( CR \) is a crossover factor, \( CR \in [0,1] \), \( j_{rand} \) is a random integer and \( j_{rand} \in [0,1] \).

3) **Selection operator:** The DE algorithm applied greedy selection, selects between the trails and targets vectors. The selected individual (solution) is the best vector with the better fitness value. The description of the selection operator is presented as fellows:

\[ x_i^{(G+1)} = \begin{cases} u_i^{(G)}, & \text{if } f(u_i^{(G)}) \leq f(x_i^{(G)}) \,  \\ x_i, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]  

(13)

The main steps of DE algorithm are presented in Algorithm 2

### Algorithm 2 The structure of differential evolution algorithm

1. Set the generation counter \( G := 0 \).
2. Set the initial value of \( F \) and \( CR \).
3. Generate an initial population \( P^0 \) randomly.
4. Evaluate the fitness function of all individuals in \( P^0 \).
5. **repeat**
6. \( G = G + 1 \). \{Generation counter increasing\}.
7. \( for \ i = 0; i < NP; i ++ \ do \)
8. Select random indexes \( r_1, r_2, r_3 \), where \( r_1 \neq r_2 \neq r_3 \neq i \), \( v_i^{(G)} = x_{r_1}^{(G)} + F \cdot (x_{r_2}^{(G)} - x_{r_3}^{(G)}) \). \{Mutation operator\}.
9. \( j = rand(1, D) \)
10. \( (k = 0; k < D; k ++ ) \ do \)
11. if \( rand(0,1) \leq CR \text{ or } k = j \) then \( u_{i,k}^{(G)} = u_{i,k}^{(G)} \text{ } \{\text{Crossover operator}\} \)
12. else \( u_{i,k}^{(G)} = x_{i,k} \)
13. \( end \text{ if} \)
14. **end for**
15. if \( f(u_i^{(G)}) \leq f(x_i^{(G)}) \) then \( x_i^{(G+1)} = u_i^{(G)} \text{ \{Greedy selection\} } \)
16. else \( x_i^{(G+1)} = x_i \)
17. **end if**
18. **end for**
19. until Termination criteria satisfied.
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are repeated until termination criterion satisfied, which is the number of iterations in our algorithm.

Algorithm 3: The proposed HGDESCOP algorithm

1: Set the generation counter $G := 0$.
2: Set the initial value of $F$, $p_c$ and $NP$.
3: Generate an initial population $P^0$ randomly.
4: Evaluate the fitness function of all individuals in $P^0$.
5: repeat
6: Set $G = G + 1$. {Generation counter increasing}.
7: for $(i = 0; i < NP; i++)$ do
8: Select random indexes $r_1$, $r_2$, $r_3$, where $r_1 \neq r_2 \neq r_3\neq i$.
9: \[ v_i^{(G)} = x_i^{(G)} + F \times (x_{r_2}^{(G)} - x_{r_3}^{(G)}) \] {DE mutation operator}.
10: end for
11: for $(j = 0; j < NP; j++)$ do
12: Associate a random number $r$ from $(0, 1)$ with each $v_i^{(G)}$ in $P^{(G)}$.
13: if $r < p_c$ then
14: Apply Procedure 1 to all selected pairs of $v_i^{(G)}$ in $P^{(G)}$. {GA linear crossover operator}.
15: end if
16: end for
17: for $(k = 0; k < NP; k++)$ do
18: if $(f(u_k^{(G)}) \leq f(x_k^{(G)}))$ then
19: $x_k^{(G+1)} = u_k^{(G)}$ {Greedy selection}.
20: else
21: $x_k^{(G+1)} = x_k^{(G)}$
22: end if
23: end for
24: end for
25: Update $P^{(G)}$
26: until $Itr_{no} \leq Maxitr$ {Termination criteria satisfied}.

IV. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

The general performance of the proposed HGDESCOP algorithm is tested using 13 benchmark function $G_1 - G_{13}$, which are reported in details in [5], [7], [13]. These functions are listed in Table I as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>$D$</th>
<th>Type of function</th>
<th>Optimal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$G_1$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>-15.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_2$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>nonlinear</td>
<td>-0.803619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_3$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>polynomial</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_4$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>-30665.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_5$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>5126498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_6$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>-6961.814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_7$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>24.306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_8$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>nonlinear</td>
<td>-0.095825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_9$</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>polynomial</td>
<td>680.630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{10}$</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>linear</td>
<td>7049.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{11}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{12}$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>quadratic</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{13}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>nonlinear</td>
<td>0.053950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II: HGDESCOP parameter settings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Definitions</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$NP$</td>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_c$</td>
<td>Crossover probability</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F$</td>
<td>Mutation scale factor</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Maxitr$</td>
<td>Maximum number of iterations</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A. Parameter settings

The parameters used by HGDESCOP and their values are summarized in Table II. These values are either based on the common setting in the literature or determined through our preliminary numerical experiments.

B. Performance analysis

In order to test the general performance of the proposed HGDESCOP algorithm, we applied it with 13 benchmark functions $G_1 - G_{13}$ and the results are reported in Table III. Also, six functions have been plotted as shown in Figure 1.

1) The general performance of the HGDESCOP algorithm: The best, mean, worst and standard deviation values are averaged over 30 runs and reported in Table III. We can observe from the results in Table III, that HGDESCOP could obtain the optimal solution or very near to optimal solution for all functions $G_1 - G_{13}$ for all 30 runs. However HGDESCOP could obtain the optimal solution with function $G_{13}$ for 9 out of 30 runs. Also in Figure 1, we can observe that the function values are rapidly decrease as the number of function generations increases.

We can conclude from Table III and Figure 1, that HGDESCOP is an efficient algorithm and it can obtain the optimal or near optimal solution with only few number of iterations.

C. HGDESCOP and other algorithms

In order to evaluate the performance of HGDESCOP algorithm, we compare it with four evolutionary based algorithms. All results are reported in Table IV, and the results of the other algorithms are taken from their original papers. The four algorithms are listed as follows.

- Homomorphous Mappings (HM) [9]
  This algorithm, incorporates a homomorphous mapping between n-dimensional cube and a feasible search space.
- Stochastic Ranking (SR) [16]
  This algorithm introduces a new method to balance objective and penalty functions stochastically, (stochastic ranking), and presents a new view on penalty function methods in terms of the dominance of penalty and objective functions.
- Adaptive Segregational Constraint Handling EA (ASCHEA) [6]
  This algorithm is called ASCHEA and it is used after extending the penalty function and introducing a niching techniques with adaptive radius to handle multimodel functions. The main idea of the algorithm is to start for each equality with a large feasible
TABLE III: Experimental results of HGDESCOP for $G_1 - G_{13}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>optimal</th>
<th>best</th>
<th>mean</th>
<th>worst</th>
<th>std</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$G_1$</td>
<td>-15.000</td>
<td>-15.000</td>
<td>-15.000</td>
<td>-15.000</td>
<td>0.0e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_2$</td>
<td>-0.803619</td>
<td>-0.8036187</td>
<td>-0.7993549</td>
<td>-0.7861574</td>
<td>2.7368217e-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_3$</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>-1.0005001</td>
<td>-1.0005000</td>
<td>-1.0004992</td>
<td>0.0e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_4$</td>
<td>-30665.539</td>
<td>-30665.538</td>
<td>-30665.538</td>
<td>-30665.538</td>
<td>0.0e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_5$</td>
<td>5126.498</td>
<td>5126.496858</td>
<td>5126.496728</td>
<td>5126.49671</td>
<td>4.5552e-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_6$</td>
<td>-6961.814</td>
<td>-6961.813875</td>
<td>-6961.813875</td>
<td>-6961.813875</td>
<td>1.9173e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_8$</td>
<td>-0.095825</td>
<td>-0.095825</td>
<td>-0.095825</td>
<td>-0.095825</td>
<td>1.223905e-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_9$</td>
<td>680.630</td>
<td>680.630057</td>
<td>680.630057</td>
<td>680.630057</td>
<td>5.789561e-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{10}$</td>
<td>7049.248</td>
<td>7049.248020</td>
<td>7049.248020</td>
<td>7049.248020</td>
<td>6.264592e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{11}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.749900</td>
<td>0.749900</td>
<td>0.749900</td>
<td>1.170277e-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{12}$</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>-1.000</td>
<td>0.0e+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_{13}$</td>
<td>0.053950</td>
<td>0.084356</td>
<td>0.372933</td>
<td>0.438802</td>
<td>0.139366</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: The general performance of HGDESCOP algorithm.

domain and to reduce it progressively in order to bring it as close as possible to null measure domain.

- Simple Multimembered Evolution Strategy (SMES) [14].
  This algorithm is based on a multimembered ES with a feasibility comparison mechanism.

1) Comparison between HM, SR, ASCHEA, SMES and HGDESCOP: The best, mean, worst results of the five comparative algorithms are averaged over 30 runs and reported in Table IV. The evaluation function values for HM, SR, ASCHEA and SMES algorithms are 1,400,000, 350,000, 1,500,000 and 250,000 respectively. However the maximum evaluation function value for HGDESCOP algorithm is 120,000. We can observe from Table IV, that HGDESCOP results are better than the other algorithms for all functions $G_1 - G_{12}$ except the last function $G_{13}$. In term of evaluation function values, it is clear that HGDESCOP is faster than the other algorithms.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, a new hybrid genetic differential evolution algorithm to solve constrained optimization problems is presented. The proposed algorithm is called hybrid genetic differential evolution algorithm for solving constrained optimization problems (HGDESCOP). The proposed algorithm combines the differential evolution algorithm and the genetic linear crossover operator in order to improve the exploration ability of the DE algorithm and to avoid trapping in local minima. To verify the efficiency of the proposed algorithm, it has been compared with 4 Evolutionary based algorithm on 13 benchmark functions. The experimental results show that the HGDESCOP algorithm is a robust and efficient algorithm.
and can obtain the global minima or near global minima faster than other algorithms. AS part of our future work, in this paper we are using linear crossover to improve the performance of DE, whether the HGDESCOP algorithm could be improved by using more advanced GA crossover operators. Also we can apply the proposed algorithm with many real-life applications such as engineering design, finance, economics.
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