# One-Lead Electrocardiogram for Biometric Authentication using Time Series Analysis and Support Vector Machine

Sugondo Hadiyoso<sup>1</sup>, Suci Aulia<sup>2</sup>, Achmad Rizal<sup>3</sup>

School of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung, Indonesia<sup>1, 2</sup> School of Electrical Engineering, Telkom University, Bandung, Indonesia<sup>3</sup>

*Abstract*—In this research, a person identification system has been simulated using electrocardiogram (ECG) signals as biometrics. Ten adult people were participated as the subjects in this research taken from their signal ECG using the one-lead ECG machine. A total of 65 raw ECG waves from the 10 subjects were analyzed. This raw signal is then processed using the Hjorth Descriptor and Sample Entropy (SampEn) to get the signal features. Support Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm was used as the classifier for the subject authentication based upon the record of ECG signal. The results of the research showed that the highest accuracy value of 93.8% was found in Hjorth Descriptor. Compared to SampEn, this method is quite promising to be implemented for having a good performance and fewer features.

#### Keywords—ECG; biometric; Hjorth; sample entropy; SVM

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Biometric can be defined as a unique feature measurement from the physical features found in each person. The characteristics of behavioral or physiological features of an individual can be used to differentiate from one person to other [1]. The automatic biometric system has been widely used such as the person identification and access control, inspection area, and the criminal processing. There have been more research and development particularly for the multimodal biometric system using more than one biometric modality in which the accuracy and security level can be enhanced [2].

Biometric can be classified into two methods: physiological and behavioral [3]. The physiological biometric is related to the physical characteristics of body or human organs such as the facial pattern, fingerprint, iris, hand geometry, DNA and aroma. However, these biometric characteristics tend to be effortlessly falsified and could be forcibly obtained or be physically damaged [3]. Therefore, an alternative biometric system that has a unique feature that is difficult to be falsified is deemed necessary [4].

The biometric modality that has the referred criteria is biopotential or bio-signal. The use of electroencephalogram (EEG) and ECG as bio-signal-based biometric modalities has been widely investigated as reported in the study [5]–[9]. The bio-signal is potential to be the future biometric that is found difficult to be falsified or to attack this biometric system. However, ECG has some excellences such as tending to be linear, having continuous signal (regular rhythm), low complexity and relatively simpler in taking the signal if compared to the EEG signals. Based upon this explanation, the ECG signal was selected in this research as the biometric modalities. The advantage of biometric from the signal of the heart is that it is almost impossible to duplicate the electrical activity of human heart. In addition, the natural characteristics of biometric have made it possible to increase the security in comparison to other traditional biometric systems.

The ECG based biometric system method for the purpose of authentication includes the analysis in the time domain, frequency domain or time-frequency domain. This analysis is used to obtain the features in each subject of ECG in which it will later be matched with the database for authentication. The most widely used analyses method in the domain of frequency are wavelet and Fourier transformation. The research by Belgacem [10] reported the analysis on the ECG signal in 20 subjects of observation for authentication using discrete wavelet transform (DWT). In his research, DWT was used to obtain the feature coefficient from ECG waves. The random forest algorithm was used for the authentication based upon the features. The research by Anita [11] has proposed the ECG biometric for human recognition using haar wavelet, it reports 98.96% and 98.48% classification accuracy for identification on three different databases i.e. QT database, PTB database and MIT-BIH arrhythmia database.

The wavelet transform method was also applied in the ECG biometric by Wei-Quan [6] conducting a detailed deduction of the wavelet transform and continued with the accuracy test through the MATLAB simulation. The research of Wei-Quan, however, did not give any reports about the authentication or classification method used. Wavelet transformations as an ECG biometric base have also been reported in the research of Chee Yeen [12] with a focus to study the effects of various features used for the performance or accuracy of authentication. Chee Yeen intended to obtain a dominant feature producing the best performance in authentication. The Support Vector Machine (SVM) method was used for the feature-based authentication.

Another analysis method in the frequency domain on ECG biometric is Fourier transform as reported in the study [13] informing that the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) method combined with the nearest neighbour classifier had a good performance for the ECG's biometric. The FFT method was also used in the simultaneous ECG and electromyogram (EMG) wave based biometric studies by Belgacem [14] with the Optimum-Path Forest classifier for authentication.

The studies previously explained are the examples of proposals of ECG biometric systems that have good performance for person authentication. Nevertheless, the use of feature extraction methods in the frequency domain tends to have high computational complexity, long processing times and relatively large memory resources. Therefore, an alternative method is deemed important used as a solution to the problems, one of which is through the time domain analysis proposed in this research.

This proposed study focuses on time series analysis methods using Hjorth Descriptor and Sample Entropy for ECG biometrics. These methods have been selected for having good performance based upon some previous research to classify ECG and Epileptic EEG signals [15]-[17]. Both of these methods are basically used for analysis of signal complexity. The varied signal form and ECG rhythm for each person will provide different measures of complexity. Because of this, both methods are considered for use in the proposed system. These methods would be combined with the Support Vector Machine (SVM) algorithm as a classifier. Applying these two feature extraction methods enables to determine the simplest method with the relatively fast computing time and expected to provide high accuracy. The contributions of this research in the theoretical and practical domain include: the use of appropriate methods in the person authentication through ECG signals, i.e. to determine an algorithm, in this case, purposely to reduce the computational complexity. Thus, the designed algorithm will correctly work in the individual authentication with high accuracy and low complexity of computation.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the related theory which used in this paper. Section 3 describes the system design. Section 4 describes results and discussion which present the performance of each method. Finally, Section 5 presents conclusions of the research.

## II. THEORY

# A. Biometric

In essence, biometric system refers to a system used to identify individuals based upon the differences in the scope of behavioral/psychological characteristics [1]. It is possible that these characteristics in every human are unique from one to other. Also, the application of biometric-based authentication is considered more reliable compared to passwords/tokens and knowledge authentication. The main problem in making a practical biometric system is how to determine someone to be authenticated. The mechanism of the biometric system is conducted through several stages, the first of which is the enrollment stage. At this stage, the input will be scanned by a biometric sensor, and represented into a digital form. The subsequent stage is the matching stage [18], in which the input will be matched with the stored database.



Fig. 1. Biometric Method [19].

As explained in the previous section, Physiological biometrics is related to the physical characteristics of the body. Behavioral biometrics which might be used is sounds, gait, signatures and speech rhythms. But the behavioral biometrics tends to be simple to be falsified. These two biometric methods are shown in Fig. 1.

## B. Hjorth Descriptor

The Hjorth Descriptor refers to a parameter to quantify and retrieve the signal features. Initially, it was used to analyze EEG signal characteristics. But in the research [15], [16] this method proved to have good performance in the case of processing ECG signals. Therefore, we use the Hjorth method on this proposed system. The Hjorth Descriptor parameter consists of activity, mobility and complexity. If we have x(n), the input signal, then  $\sigma_0$ = standard deviation x(n). For  $x_1(n) = x(n) - x(n_1)$  we will have  $\sigma_1$  = variance of  $x_1(n)$ . Meanwhile,  $\sigma_2$ = variance  $x_2(n)$ , where  $x_2(n) = x_1(n) - x_1(n-1)$  or generally, it can be formulated as:

$$x_N(n) = x_{n-1}(n) - x_{n-1}(n-1)$$
(1)

The equation of Hjorth Descriptor is presented as follows (2)-(4) [20]:

$$Mobility = \sigma_1^2 / \sigma_0^2 \tag{2}$$

$$Mobility = \sigma_1^2 / \sigma_0^2 \tag{3}$$

Complexity order of 
$$n = \sqrt[2]{\frac{\sigma_{n+1}^2}{\sigma_n^2}} - \frac{\sigma_n^2}{\sigma_{n-1}^2}$$
 (4)

# C. Sample Entropy (SampEn)

Sample Entropy (SampEn) is an improvement in the Approximate Entropy (ApEn) method as proposed by Richman and Moorman [21]. It is proposed to improve the ApEn where there is a bias due to self-match caused by a signal that is considered equal to itself. The advantage of SampEn compared to ApEn is that it has a good performance for short data sequences with noise and is able to separate the large signal variations. SampEn is one method that is widely used to measure signal complexity. In a research conducted by Rizal [17], it was proven that SampEn can provide high accuracy in the case of epileptic EEG classifications.

SampEn will calculate the probability m of data sequence equal to another sequence in the signal sequence with tolerance r. This probability is expressed by  $X^{m}(r)$  and  $Y^{m}(r)$ , each of which states the probability of two data sequences that are suitable for numbers m + 1 points and the probability of two data sequences that will match the point of number m in tolerance r. The SampEn equation can be expressed by:

$$SampEn(m,r) = \lim_{N \to \infty} -\ln \frac{x^m(r)}{y^m(r)}$$
(5)

$$Y = \left\{\frac{[(N-m-1)(N-m)]}{2}\right\} Y^{m}(r)$$
(6)

$$X = \left\{ \frac{[(N-m-1)(N-m)]}{2} \right\} X^{m}(r)$$
(7)

 $SampEn(m,r,N) = -ln\frac{X}{Y}$ 

#### D. Support Vector Machine (SVM)

The concept of Support Vector Machine (SVM) is to design a hyperplane that can classify all training data into two classes. Fig. 2 shows several patterns as the members of two classes. *Line-1* and *Line-2* are the examples of various discrimination boundaries [22] to obtain the best hyperplane. For the linear SVM used in this study, the equation of *Line-1* and *Line-2* were obtained by the following approach [23]:

$$f_{\lambda}(x) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_i y_i K(x, x_i)$$
(8)

Some studies using the SVM method for classification on electrocardiogram signals include: ECG arrhythmias classification into four types of arrhythmias with experimental results of 93% [24], the numerical results indicating that SVM achieved 99.68% for cardiac beat detection using single lead ECG [25], automatic classifier for detecting five pathologies (AAMI standard) reaching an accuracy rate of 99.17% by SVM method tested by means of the MIT-BIH ECG Arrhythmias Database [26]. In other studies, SVM Classifier achieved 90% accuracy based upon ECG signals for the detection of abnormalities developed for the remote healthcare systems. Other SVM reviews as biometric classifier can be seen in [27]. Based on the description of the research above, the SVM method has the achievement rate of  $\geq 90\%$  in classifying the ECG signals; thus, it became the selected method in this study.

#### E. Performance Parameter

The performance of a classifier is measured by 3 parameters: sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy [28] considered for validation [29] where these three parameters can be calculated based upon the data generated by the confusion matrix [30] as shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 2. The Determination of Hyperplane in Support Vector Machine.

	Actual class					
	Positive Negativ					
Matched patterns	TP (number of true positives)	FP (number of false positives)				
Did not match patterns	FN (number of false negatives)	TN (number of true negatives)				

#### Fig. 3. Confusion Matrix.

Accuracy in machine learning systems can be interpreted as a measurement of correct predictions made by the conditions over a specific data set [31]. Sensitivity refers to a measurement to determine the ability of a classifier to correct observations accurately into certain categories [31], often referred to as TPR (True Positive Rate). Specificity, meanwhile, is a measurement to find out the value of an error called TNR (True Negative Rate) [32]. The calculation of the values of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy as shown in Fig. 3, is represented in the following equation [33-35]:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FT+FN}$$
(9)

$$Sensitivity = \frac{11}{TP + FN}$$
(10)

$$Specificity = \frac{IN}{TN+FP}$$
(11)

## **III. SYSTEM DESIGN**

Based on [36], there are two models of biometric systems, namely:

1) The verification system compares the biometrics of a person with one reference biometric on the database, claimed by that person. In the verification system, it is only one input entered into one database.

2) Identification system compares a biometric with all biometrics existing in the database. There is the element of searching in the identification system for involving the process of matching one input to many database samples.

In this study, the proposed biometric system refers to the identification system where the mechanism was carried out by storing the ECG signal template database and then the data was used as a comparison when there was an input requesting the authentication. The biometric mechanism in this research can be seen in Fig. 4 and explained in the following section.



Fig. 4. Diagram of the Proposed Biometric System.

## A. ECG Signal Acquisition

ECG is a device that measures the heart's electrical activity which is widely used for cardiovascular disease monitoring [37]. ECG has a variety of rhythms, shapes and amplitudes in each human so that it is proposed for biometrics. In this proposed biometric system, ECG signal acquisition was conducted using the one-lead ECG device. ECG acquisition principally based upon Einthoven's triangle leads is shown in Fig. 5. Data collection was carried out with a sampling frequency of 100Hz for approximately 60 seconds on 10 subjects. Scenarios for retrieving the ECG signal were carried out during normal/relaxing conditions without any activities. This raw data is the main modality for the feature extraction process. Fig. 6 depicts the example of taking ECG signals on the subject of adult person.

ECG signals were then stored in the file format text in the form of a decimal value of 10 bits in the range of 0 to1023. The graph of ECG waves of each subject is illustrated in Fig. 7.

The ECG graph as shown in Fig. 7 for each subject had a complete ECG signal components, namely the PQRST wave. Visually, this wave had various forms from one subject to other. This initial hypothesis becomes a strong base for the success of authentication in the proposed system.



Fig. 5. Signal Acquisition Method.



Fig. 6. ECG Signal Acquisition in Subject.

#### B. Feature Extraction

At this stage, the raw ECG signal for each subject was preprocessed by making the signal amplitude at level -1 to +1with an aim to minimize the calculation complexity in the feature extraction process. The following are the equations used in pre-processing.

$$x_{DC}(n) = x(n) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x(n)$$
(13)

Equation (13) is used to remove the DC signal components.

$$x_n(n) = \frac{x(n)}{\max|x|} \tag{14}$$

Equation (14) is used to make the signal amplitude at level-1 to +1. Fig. 8 portrays the signal pre-processing results.

The next process is feature extraction to obtain the value of the feature extraction coefficient. In this study, the Hjorth descriptor and Sample Entropy methods were used to obtain the signal features. This method would obtain the signal complexity parameters from each ECG data for each subject. From this process, the features database of each subject would be obtained and then would be compared with the test data. The following are the signal features for each subject displayed in the form of tables and graphs.







Fig. 8. Preprocessed Signal.

From the graph as shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, it can be seen the average value of each signal feature in each subject. Tables 1 and 2 shows that the average values of the signal features in each subject were different from one to another, even in little range of values. The little difference of value was because the ECG signal owned by one individual and the other had a similar magnitude, frequency and QRS complex form. However, we visually could still see the difference in signal characteristics for each individual. In addition, the similarity of values only occurred in some features. Such condition will make it easier for the classifier to identify the individuals with one to another.

## HJORTH PARAMETER FOR EACH SUBJECT



Fig. 9. Features of ECG Signals using Hjorth Descriptor.

## C. Classification and Validation

To test the accuracy of the system in authenticating the persons, SVM was used as a classifier. The SVM types used included linear, cubic, quadratic and SVM Gaussian. The purpose of using these types of SVM was to obtain the best accuracy value. Validation was carried out using the 10-Fold Cross Validation (NFCV) that distributed the data into N datasets where one dataset was the test data and N-1 was training data. In this study, the iteration process was carried out 10 times and the measurement of accuracy came from the average accuracy of each process.

# SAMPLE ENTROPY FOR EACH SUBJECT



Fig. 10. Features of ECG Signals using Sample Entropy.

	Mean			Std. Dev.	Std. Dev.					
Subject-n	Feature (F-n	)		Feature (F-n)						
	F-1	<b>F-2</b>	 F-20	F-1	F-2		F-20			
Subject-1	0,0368	0,046	 0,0852	0,0029	0,0078		0,029			
Subject-2	0,1176	0,102	 0,2179	0,0281	0,0286		0,045			
Subject-3	0,0454	0,055	 0,075	0,0062	0,0029		0,022			
Subject-4	0,0635	0,073	 0,1621	0,0082	0,0114		0,051			
Subject-5	0,0452	0,054	 0,1012	0,0037	0,0028		0,013			
Subject-6	0,0598	0,096	 0,1689	0,0052	0,0098		0,04			
Subject-7	0,0572	0,065	 0,1053	0,0067	0,0065		0,019			
Subject-8	0,0519	0,065	 0,1837	0,0042	0,0101		0,046			
Subject-9	0,0751	0,1	 0,1879	0,0028	0,0232		0,021			
Subject-10	0,0516	0,065	 0,0892	0,0039	0,0038		0,022			
			Std. Dev	0.0075	0.0086		0,093			

 TABLE I.
 MEAN AND STD. DEV OF HJORTH PARAMETERS

Subject	Mean		Std. Dev				
	Act.	Mob.	Comp.	Act.	Mob.	Comp.	
Subject-1	0,26	0,0775	1,423	0,078	0,026 0,	0,01	
Subject-2	0,438	0,0836	1,497	0,008	0,003	0,021	
Subject-3	0,258	0,1206	1,298	0,0391	0,003	0,009	
Subject-4	0,743	0,061	1,351	0,063	0,003	0,006	
Subject-5	0,448	0,0639	1,216	0,021	0,005	0,018	
Subject-6	0,273	0,16	1,357	0,0311	0,01	0,005	
Subject-7	0,388	0,0902	1,364	0,0762	0,012	0,008	
Subject-8	0,463	0,0952	1,491	0,0161	0,01	0,039	
Subject-9	0,222	0,2342	1,251	0,0151	0,02	0,026	
Subject-10	0,203	0,1568	1,374	0,0329	0,03	0,014	
			Std. Dev	0.0257	0.01	0.01	

TABLE II. MEAN AND STD.DEV OF SAMPLE ENTROPY

#### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, a test was conducted to calculate the accuracy of the system that has been designed. The total number of test datasets was 65 from 10 persons where each person has 4 to 9 datasets. In this research, the 10-fold cross validation was used to divide the training dataset and the test dataset randomly with an iteration of N times until all datasets were valid as the training data and test data. The cross validation model was conducted as illustrated in Fig. 11.

## A. System Accuracy using Hjorth Descriptor

Table 3 shows the result of the authentication accuracy for each classifier in the experiment using the Hjortssh Descriptor.

The confusion matrix of the description in Table 3 where the highest accuracy was 93.8% as seen in Table 4 below.



Fig. 11. 10-Cross Validation

TABLE III. ACCURACY OF HJORTH DESCRIPTOR

Classifier	Accuracy
Linier SVM	87,7%
Quadratic SVM	89,2%
Cubic SVM	92,3%
Gaussian SVM	93,8%

TABLE IV.	CONFUSION MATRIX USING HJORTH
-----------	-------------------------------

		Predicted Subject (Sn)									
		$S_1$	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	$S_4$	<b>S</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>6</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>7</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>8</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>9</sub>	S <sub>10</sub>
Т	$S_1$	6									
TRUE	$S_2$		6						1		
[I]	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>			4							1
	$S_4$				7						
	<b>S</b> <sub>5</sub>					7					
	S <sub>6</sub>						9				
	<b>S</b> <sub>7</sub>							7			
	<b>S</b> <sub>8</sub>		1						6		
	<b>S</b> <sub>9</sub>									5	
	S <sub>10</sub>							1			4

The results showed the highest accuracy value of 93.8% using the SVM Gaussian with the validation as shown in Table 4. These results were quite consistent with other SVM methods, indicating that the Hjorth Descriptor has a good performance for signal separation in each person. From the results of this test, the average values of sensitivity and specificity were found at 93.1% and 99.32% respectively. The value of accuracy is also highly affected by the use of the Hjorth Descriptor itself that is being prone to the noise [22] and it can affect the value of activity or variance. Thus, in the further study, it is deemed necessary to do the denoising at the preprocessing stage without removing the information or characteristics of the ECG signal. Another disadvantage is that the Hjorth Descriptor's performance is not good if used on a long signal line so that it requires a signal segmentation. Possible in the next research, it was done by limiting the number of processed PQRST waves.

#### B. System Accuracy Using Sample Entropy

Table 5 presents the results of the individual authentication in an experiment using Sample Entropy.

TABLE V. ACCURACY ON SAMPLE ENTROPY

Classifier	Accuracy
Linier SVM	78,5%
Quadratic SVM	81,5%
Cubic SVM	78,5%
Gaussian SVM	86,2%

TABLE VI.	CONFUSION N	MATRIX USING S	SAMPLE ENTROPY

		Predicted Subject (Sn)									
		S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>4</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>5</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>6</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>7</sub>	S <sub>8</sub>	<b>S</b> 9	S <sub>10</sub>
Т	S <sub>1</sub>	5									
TRUE	S <sub>2</sub>		7								
E	<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	1		3					1		
	<b>S</b> <sub>4</sub>	1			5						
	<b>S</b> <sub>5</sub>					6					
	<b>S</b> <sub>6</sub>						9				
	<b>S</b> <sub>7</sub>							5		2	
	S <sub>8</sub>								7		
	<b>S</b> <sub>9</sub>							1		4	
	S <sub>10</sub>										5

The test results using sample entropy showed the highest accuracy value of 86.2% with the validation as shown in Table 6. The average value of sensitivity and specificity was 85.2% and 98.5% respectively. Specificity showed that the SampEn method had a good performance in separating the features not as the system criteria. SampEn in this research did not provide as good performance as previous study conducted on EEG signals [18]. This can be due to the nature of ECG signals which tend to be linear, low complexity and have a regular pattern. The nature of the ECG wave causes SampEnbased complexity analysis will produce feature values that are similar to each other.

SampEn, compared to Hjorth, generated more features and had a better advantage in separating features. However, in the case of this study, some features of SampEn as shown in Table 2 had a very little deviation between the features of one subject and others. This deviation value was less than that of Hjorth. As a consequence, it caused a large bias and the authentication came to be difficult to be done. Another problem that occurs is the large number of features generated by SampEn caused a decrease in accuracy because in some cases, high similarity in its features were found.

#### V. CONCLUSION

In this research, person authentication has been successfully simulated using the biometric characteristics of ECG signals as the new modalities in biometrics. The methods of Hjorth Descriptor and Sample Entropy have been used in this study to compute the features of signal. Some SVM methods were also used to classify the signals for authentication purposes. The validation process was done using the 10-cross validation. The highest accuracy value was obtained at 93.8% achieved in the Hjorth Descriptor with the SVM Gaussian. Compared to Sample Entropy, this method is quite promising to be implemented for having a good performance with few features. However, the Hjoth Descriptor is susceptible to the noise that affects the value of activity or variance. Therefore, denoising needs to be done for noise reduction at the signal preprocessing stage without removing any information about the ECG signal, particularly the PORST waves. Sample entropy still has a great opportunity in terms of increasing accuracy by applying the feature selection that have a significant effect.

The method of retrieving signal features in the ECG biometric study based on time series analysis as simulated in this study provides a new experience in the use of analytical method in the frequency domain. The analysis method in the time domain will provide a number of advantages including low computational complexity, little memory resources and opportunities for real-time applications. The limitation of this research is the small number of tested subjects. However, this study attempts to generalize the proposed method by splitting ECG signals on each subject in order to obtain an adequate number of subjects with the test scenario on the condition of subjects with varying ECG rhythms. Future research, this method is very possible to be applied to real time systems due to low computational complexity.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Savvides, "Introduction to Biometric Technologies and Applications," 2006.
- [2] N. Dey, B. Nandi, P. Das, A. Das, and S. Chaudhuri, "Retention of Electrocardiogram Features Insignificantly Devalorized as an Effect of Watermarking for a Multimodal Biometric Authentication System," 2013.
- [3] R. Palaniappan and K. Revett, "PIN generation using EEG: a stability study," Int. J. Biom., vol. 6, no. 2, p. 95, 2014.
- [4] T. Matsumoto, H. Matsumoto, K. Yamada, and S. Hoshino, "Impact of artificial 'gummy' fingers on fingerprint systems," in Proceedings of SPIE, 2002, vol. 4677, pp. 275–289.
- [5] O. Al-Hamdani et al., "Multimodal Biometrics Based on Identification and Verification System," J. Biom. Biostat., vol. 04, no. 02, pp. 1–8, 2013.
- [6] W. Wei-quan, L. U. Pan, L. I. N. Jia-lun, and Z. Jin, "ECG Identification Based on Wavelet Transform," Joint International Information Technology, Mechanical and Electronic Engineering Conference (JIMEC), pp. 497–501, 2016.
- [7] K. C. Reshmi, P. I. Muhammed, V. V. Priya, and V. A. Akhila, "A Novel Approach to Brain Biometric User Recognition," Procedia Technol., vol. 25, no. Raerest, pp. 240–247, 2016.
- [8] A. G. Reynolds, S. F. Price, D. A. Wardle, and B. T. Watson, "EEG Based Biometric Framework for Automatic Identity Verification," J. VLSI Signal Process., vol. 49, pp. 243–250, 2007.
- [9] V. Gayathri. R, "Multimodal Biometric Authentication using Face and Fingerprint," Int. J. Innov. Res. Sci. Technol., vol. 111, no. 13, pp. 26– 32, 2015.
- [10] N. Belgacem, A. Nait-Ali, R. Fournier, and F. Bereksi-Reguig, "ECG Based Human Authentication using Wavelets and Random Forests," Int. J. Cryptogr. Inf. Secur., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–11, 2012.
- [11] A. Pal and Y. Narain, "Biometric Recognition using Area under Curve Analysis of Electrocardiogram," Int. J. Adv. Comput. Sci. Appl., vol. 10, no. 1, 2019.
- [12] C. Y. Chin et al., "Development of Heartbeat Based Biometric System Using Wavelet Transform," J. Eng. Sci., vol. 14, pp. 15–33, 2018.
- [13] N. Belgacem, A. Amine Naït, and R. Fethi, "Person Identification System Based on Electrocardiogram Signal Using Lab VIEW.," Int. ..., vol. 4, no. 06, pp. 974–981, 2012.
- [14] N. Belgacem, R. Fournier, A. Nait-Ali, and F. Bereksi-Reguig, "A novel biometric authentication approach using ECG and EMG signals," J. Med. Eng. Technol., vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 226–238, 2015.
- [15] S. Hadiyoso and A. Rizal, "Electrocardiogram signal classification using higher-order complexity of hjorth descriptor," Adv. Sci. Lett., vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 3972–3974, 2017.
- [16] A. Rizal and S. Hadiyoso, "ECG Signal Classification Using Hjorth Descriptor," in International Conference on Automation, Cognitive Science, Optics, Micro Electro-Mechanical System, and Information Technology (ICACOMIT), 2015, no. September 2012, pp. 87–90.
- [17] A. Rizal and S. Hadiyoso, "Sample Entropy on Multidistance Signal Level Difference for Epileptic EEG Classification," Sci. World J., vol. 2018, pp. 1–6, 2018.
- [18] A. Jain, L. Hong, and S. Pankanti, "Biometric Identification," COMMUNICATIONS OF THE ACM, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 91–98, 2000.
- [19] A. Babich, "Biometric Authentication. Types of biometric identifiers," 2012.
- [20] B. Hjorth, "The Technical Significance of Time Domain Descriptors in EEG Analysis." pp. 321–325, 1973.
- [21] J. S. Richman and J. R. Moorman, "Physiological time-series analysis using approximate entropy and sample entropy," Am. J. Physiol. Circ. Physiol., vol. 278, no. 6, pp. H2039–H2049, 2000.
- [22] S. Aulia, S. Hadiyoso, and D. N. Ramadan, "Analisis Perbandingan KNN dengan SVM untuk Klasifikasi Penyakit Diabetes Retinopati berdasarkan Citra Eksudat dan Mikroaneurisma," J. ELKOMIKA -Teknik Elektro Itenas - ISSN 2338-8323, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 75–90, 2015.

- [23] T. Hastie, R. Tibshirani, and J. Friedman, The Elements of Statistical Learning, Second. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2009.
- [24] J. A. Nasiri, M. Naghibzadeh, H. S. Yazdi, and B. Naghibzadeh, "ECG Arrhythmia Classification with Support Vector Machines and Genetic Algorithm," in 2009 Third UKSim European Symposium on Computer Modeling and Simulation, 2009, pp. 187–192.
- [25] S. S. Mehta and N. . Lingayat, "Support Vector Machine for Cardiac Beat Detection in Single Lead Electrocardiogram," IAENG Int. J. Appl. Math., vol. 36, no. May, p. 2, 2007.
- [26] R. Smíšek, "ECG Signal Classification Based on SVM," Biomed. Eng. (NY)., no. 1, pp. 365–369, 2016.
- [27] J. Chaki, N. Dey, F. Shi, and R. S. Sherratt, "Pattern Mining Approaches used in Sensor-Based Biometric Recognition: A Review," IEEE Sens. J., vol. PP, no. c, pp. 1–1, 2019.
- [28] G. A. Tsihrintzis and D. N. Sotiropoulos, Intelligent Systems Reference Library 149 Machine Learning Paradigms. Springer, 2018.
- [29] S. Sen, L. Datta, and S. Mitra, Machine learning and IoT: a biological perspective. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis, 2019.

- [30] C. Sciences, "Bagged tree classification of arrhythmia using wavelets for denoising, compression, and feature extraction," Turkish J. Electr. Eng. Comput. Sci., vol. 26, pp. 1555–1571, 2018.
- [31] W. J. Raynor, The International Dictionary of Artificial Intelligence, vol. 14, no. 6. 1999.
- [32] K. Ramasubramanian and A. Singh, Machine Learning Using R, Second. Apress, 2018.
- [33] L. Hunter, "Artificial intelligence and molecular biology," p. 470, 1993.
- [34] J. MATUSEVICH, "Fibro-angioma-peritelioma de oído medio; peripecias diagnósticas y terapéuticas," Rev. Asoc. Med. Argent., vol. 60, pp. 239–241, 1946.
- [35] M. Awad and R. Khanna, "Support Vector Machines for Classification," in Efficient Learning Machines, Berkeley, CA: Apress, 2015, pp. 39–66.
- [36] K. P. Tripathi, "A Comparative Study of Biometric Technologies with Reference to Human Interface," International Journal of Computer Applications, vol. 14, No. 5, pp. 10-15, 2011
- [37] N. Dey, A. S. Ashour, F. Shi, S. J. Fong, and R. S. Sherratt, "Developing residential wireless sensor networks for ECG healthcare monitoring," IEEE Trans. Consum. Electron., vol. 63, no. 4, pp. 442–449, Nov. 2017.