Very High-Performance Echo Canceller for Digital Terrestrial Television in Single Frequency Network

El Miloud Ar-Reyouchi\textsuperscript{1}
Telecommunications Computer Science, Abdelmalek Essaadi University and SNRT Tetouan, Rabat, Morocco

Yousra Lamrani\textsuperscript{2}
Kamal Ghoundi\textsuperscript{3}
Electronic Informatics Telecommunications, ENSAO Mohammed I University Oujda, Morocco

Salma Rattal\textsuperscript{4}
Electrical Engineering FSTM Hassan II University Casablanca, Morocco

Abstract—The principal aim of this paper is to cancel out the natural and man-made echoes in single-frequency networks (SFN). The challenge is to detect and remove feedback echoes and enhance the intelligibility of the essential parameters in SFN of digital terrestrial television broadcasting (DTTB) transmitter systems, especially the Modulation Error Ratio (MER), with optimizing coverage areas. We suggest a Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) gap filler (GF) with two types of echo cancelling: Digital Adaptive Equalizer (DAE) and Doppler Enhanced Echo Canceller (DEEC). The proposed GF outperforms standard GF (SGF), finite impulse response filter (FIR GF), and adaptive GF (AGF) techniques by 33\%, 17\%, and 13\%, respectively. Furthermore, the obtained MER makes the proposed GF (PGF) ideal for operating in SFN using Coded Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplex (COFDM) technique.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In DTTB, the terrestrial digital TV, and gap-filler transmitters broadcast the same TV service using the single frequency. The Coded Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiplex (COFDM) techniques \cite{1,2} allow TV transmitters to create an SFN, which is widely used for economizing frequency \cite{3}. The presence of a GI (guard interval) \cite{4}, in COFDM, gives it excellent robustness to lute against echoes derived from multipath interference. The coincidence of several TV broadcasts produces different types of echoes, whether artificial or natural. The echoes may appear when receiving simultaneously \cite{5} the same signal from several transmitters. Another reason that can cause echoes is the reflection of the signal on large objects such as mountains.

To improve wide-area performance applying SFN synchronization, the studies in \cite{6,7} have treated various network architectures depending on different parameters like COFDM technology, the selected scattering power, and a perfect adaptation measurement \cite{8} with a suitable antenna \cite{9} for the reception of DVB.

In SFN, the practical network planning approach of the transmitter’s location and antenna orientation are always necessary to reduce the interference. Echoes can also be reduced by decreasing the number of transmitters per local area. The selection of a transmitter with multiple transmitters to the transmission and reception MIMO (multiple antennas, multiple outputs) \cite{10,11} heights they can promote smooth operation of the self-interference cancellation technique.

In the traditional Multi-Frequency Networks (MFN) technology, each transmitter uses a different frequency to avoid undue interference between its neighbors. Interference can be assimilated to an echo \cite{12} if the content of the signal is the same on both transmitters.

In SFN, the echoes and interferences are avoided by COFDM modulation on which they are based. Since GF transmits and receives on different frequencies, it can also operate on the identical frequency with low-medium power DVB transmitters. This research approach is chosen to provide additional convergence on those blind spots not covered by the high power transmitter using efficient proposed GF (PGF) operating in SFN using the same channel. The strength of the PGF is reflected in the ability to annul the feedback echoes effectively, increasing the frequency efficiency. The PGF is based on two echo-canceling DAE and DEEC. The DEEC is based on the least-mean-square (LMS) adaptive filter \cite{13} using the LMS algorithm \cite{14}, the DEEC provides a filter that models the channel between the transmitter (Tx) and receiver (Rx) antennas. With DAE \cite{15}, the performance of a DTTB for Quadrature amplitude modulation (QAM) can be ameliorated considerably. Many studies have explored different aspects of the behavioral feedback path, such as \cite{16}. In \cite{17}, the authors analyze the required overhead to ensure a target average signal-to-interference-plus-noise ratio (SINR) using Time-division multiple access (TDMA) of each node. In \cite{18}, the interference cancellation (IC) reduces interference effect, and the overlap ratio could be enhanced while maintaining a high quality of service (QoS). The echo cancellation method has been studied in \cite{19,20}, and analyzed in a deafer domain such as in \cite{21} for voice over IP (VoIP) communication acoustic echo.

In this work, broadcast technology is adopted (one point to all other points, the authors present two echo cancellation methods as a critical technique to solve the echo and interference problem in the SFN environment using DAE and DEEC like an echo cancellation method. Furthermore, the ideal proposed technique should be compared with other research re-
sults, such as [22]. This paper does not only ensure the safe and robust operation of the repeater against the dynamics of the environment [23] but also can suppress Doppler Effect and avoid the interferences and echoes to the received signal from the transmitter in its overall coverage area. Overall, it has to be noted that the applied Echo cancellation [24] techniques not only help to improve isolation [25] but also gives perfect emission-reception isolation. Our goal is to get satisfaction levels of 80-85% of a parameter, which have a direct relationship with the echoes suppression, especially the MER. The MER is a paramount measure, and DVB widely requires it for OFDM signal performance in SFN, it is analyzed in [26] as received signal power in an actual digital television transmitter. MER is affected by the delay of received signals [27] from the SFN network, and the result of MER showed the quality of service (QoS) at the receiver.

The remaining of this paper is classified as follows. In the second section, we briefly introduce a general system Performance. The third section exposes and discusses the problem with the remedy. The fourth section presents and discovers the echo canceller utility and service, such as DAE & DEEC. The fifth section deals with the summary of the experimental results obtained. Finally, the sixth section presents conclusions.

II. GENERAL PERFORMANCE

A. General Performance

The transmission path is known as “Rician channel,” which takes into consideration the effect of multipath signals, noise, and the dominating direct signal path between Tx and Rx.

Temporal delay shall be estimated according to the following formula: 
\[ \Delta d = c \tau \] 
where \( \Delta d \) is the delay, \( c \) is the speed of light, and \( \tau \) is frequency. The offset delay is more important for remote transmitters (200 μs for 60 km). Consequently, at the receiver side, due to the presence of the multiple electromagnetic paths, more than one signal is received by a specific instance, and each one of them reaches at different times \( \tau(t) \) with different energy strengths \( \beta(t) \) and different angles \( \alpha(t) \).

Let \( S(t) \) be the transmitted complex signal having a carrier frequency \( f_0 \) modulated by a baseband complex signal \( x(t) \), and it can be written as:

\[ S(t) = x(t) e^{j2\pi f_0 t} \]  

(1)

When reflected signals are added to a direct signal, the quality of the reception becomes worse. Multipath-propagation interference varies the signal intensity and produces intersymbol interference (ISI). These cases are modeled in the channel, which is defined as a Rice channel. In this type of channel, the Gaussian channel and its characteristics also be present. The received signal suffers a multipath channel with distinct waves. With the additive white Gaussian noise omitted, it can be expressed as:

\[ S'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i(t) S(t - \tau_i(t)) \]  

(2)

which give the following equations:

\[ S'(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i(t) e^{-j2\pi f_0 \tau_i(t)} \]  

(3)

where \( a_i(t) = \beta_i(t) e^{j\alpha_i(t)} \) and \( a_i(t) \) designate the attenuation and the delay of the \( i \)-th path. The received signal \( S'(t) \) can be rewritten as the baseband signal \( y(t) \).

\[ y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i(t) x(t - \tau_i(t)) \]  

(4)

Where

\[ c_i(t) = a_i(t) e^{-j2\pi f_0 \tau_i(t)} \]  

(5)

The Doppler shift affects the attenuation \( a_i(t) \) periodically and is relatively small when compared with the carrier frequency \( f_0 \).

A simulation of the power requirements for the terrestrial DVB-T standard applied the following mathematical model to describe the channels with echoes. A certain number of echoes can be taken into consideration. In the Rician channel model, the Gaussian channel exists as well as its characteristics. The signal is completed with the reflection of signals in different ways. The received signals, \( y(t) \) according to [28] becomes:

\[ y(t) = \frac{\rho_0 x(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i e^{-j2\pi n_i} x(t - \tau_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \rho_i^2}} \]  

(6)

The mathematical equation, which described the influence of Rayleigh channel on the signal:

\[ y(t) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i e^{-j2\pi n_i} x(t - \tau_i)}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=0}^{m} \rho_i^2}} \]  

(7)

where \( \rho_0 \) is the attenuation in the line of sight of the transmitter, \( \rho_i \) is the attenuation in echo path \( i \), \( \theta_i \) is the phase rotation in the echo path \( i \), and \( \tau_i \) is the relative delay time in the echo part. The Rice Factor \( K \) designates the signal ratio by way of the line-of-sight broadcasting path to the sum in all echo ways (8).

\[ K = \frac{\rho_0}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i^2} \]  

(8)
B. MER Performance Analysis

Intermodulation (IMD), C/N, and RF Level that can be used to evaluate the quality of service (QoS) for DVB-H/T/T2 reception. The most useful one is the MER, which is used to quantify the performance of a digital radio (or digital TV) transmitter or receiver in communication, and it provides a clear and fast overview of the echoes and overlaps measurements; therefore, we highlight the MER.

In the OFDM system, a random bit sequence is generated, and then the bits are mapped into 64-QAM symbols. The \( I \) and \( Q \) values of this sequence are stored as the \( \bar{I}_j \) and \( \bar{Q}_j \) array. The \( \bar{I}_j \) and \( \bar{Q}_j \) array is next used to form the OFDM frequency domain signal, in which the resulting OFDM symbols \( I_j \), \( Q_j \) are mapped.

MER measurement of OFDM in SFN is used to measure the modulation quality [29], and practically its value is enough (according to measurements made on SFN) to judge the absence or the presence of the echoes in SFN.

MER over several symbols \( N \) (is the number of points in a measurement) is defined as:

\[
MER = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N} (I_j^2 + Q_j^2)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} [(I_j - \bar{I}_j)^2 + (Q_j - \bar{Q}_j)^2]} \tag{9}
\]

where: \( I_j, Q_j, \bar{I}_j, \bar{Q}_j \) are the ideal and quadrature components of the \( j \)-th measured/ referenced OFDM signal, respectively.

C. Intermodulation Performance Analysis

IMD is the most useful signal analyzer measurements and widely used and is quite an appealing metric of linearity, which is very important to prevent it, for radiofrequency. In a Digital Terrestrial TV, IMD is a crucial measurement caused by nonlinearities effects in the DVB system. IMD measurement can be particularly troublesome for high-frequency amplifiers for radio communications; also can automatically detect the fundamental and third-order distortion that forms a principal limit to the circuit linearity. The introduction of third-order intermodulation is due to the non-linear characteristic.

III. PROBLEMATIC WITH THE PROPOSED REMEDY SOLUTION

A. Problematic

The GF is usually installed in high and isolated stations, the transmitting antenna designed to cover the shadow area optimally. The exemplary GF installation problem is the unavoidable RF coupling between the broadcast signal from the antenna patterns of broadcasting stations and the signal picked up by the receiving antenna.

If the coupling level is too high, this turns into a risky and challenging situation that can easily destruct the equipment hardware due to the positive return path. In the case where the GF is located within an SFN, The problem becomes even more complicated. To remedy this problem, we use a Digital Echo C canceller in the digital processing module of a GF.

In the SFN overlap area, the weaker of the received signals from multipath directions is deemed considered as an echo. Thanks to the global positioning system (GPS), the synchronization of the transmitters of the broadcasting centers allows echoes to be placed precisely at guard intervals (GI) which length depends on the duration of the echoes.

The problem worsens considerably, the COFDM solution, however, does not readily apply in domains where the user density is low, and impediments such as distance and terrain create challenging obstacles to conventional approaches to the DTTB network. The overview of DVB standards, namely, DVB-T/H/T2, was not able to solve the echoes squarely and overlaps phenomenon cause by COFDM techniques. From a physical point of view, the Overlap effects (between the antennas) are inevitable.

B. Remedy

For good isolation between the Tx and Rx antennas, the solution is a digital echo cancellation based on a software solution of an echo canceller GFs that can resolve the most challenging echo conditions. Therefore, to limit isolation between the Rx and Tx antennas and suppress echoes with interference in SFN, the PGF can optionally incorporate two echoes cancelling solutions DAE and DEEC.

DAE is a powerful and useful tool to remove echoes whose gain margin (GM) level can reach up -10 dB. Moreover, especially in SFN operation, and in severe reception conditions, DAE can to remove multipath propagation impacts by equalizing the amplitude distortions of the GF received distorted signal spectrum that is created by very near echoes.

The DEEC is also able to suppress echoes but with considerable GM where the echo levels exceed the input signal by 24 dB and can often remove Doppler Effect. DEEC is integrated to avoid multipath, as well as Doppler echoes. The GF cancels feedback echoes with a GM up to 24dB, and three gaps echo, giving a selective cancellation that would go until 37.6μs. Fig. 1 shows a correct example of the positioning of the RX and TX antennas.

![Fig. 1. Correct Positioning of the TX and RX Antennas Seeking Maximum Isolation.](image-url)
We select the Rx antenna position to at least 15 meters away from the TX antenna (if possible). We try to target the Tx and Rx antennas for opposite sides.

Fig. 2 shows a simplified system Topology containing a high-power transmitter and GF as well as the Field Test Receiver Measurements: Covered by GF interfere with the main transmitter at which the outdoor measurements are performed.

![Fig. 2. Topology for SFN DVB-T/T2 Network Measurements.](image)

IV. ECHO CANCELLER: FUNCTIONAL DESCRIPTION

Fig. 3 shows the block diagram representation of the digital GF internal composition. The system carries out a down-conversion of the receiving RF signal to an intermediate frequency (IF), filters the resulting signal, and reconverts it back to RF that it is amplified before rebroadcasting starts.

When the echo signal level 10dB inferior to the principal signal, the standard GF can work without any echo canceller in SFN. In the case where reception conditions are particularly difficult in SFN, the need for DAE for an echo signal level up to 15dB or DEEC for echo signal level higher than the fundamental signal is extremely important.

A. DEEC: Technical Representation

An adaptive LMS filter is the indispensable content of DEE that can detect the eventual feedback echoes and echoes of the SFN then can usually delete them all or part of the output. The DEEC high-performance gives it great robustness against echoes in the most challenging reception conditions. DEEC can remove high return echo levels, suppress echoes with greater GM, and cancel Doppler Effect improving MER performance:

Output MER is elevate to 27dB for a 20dB GM.

Output MER is superior to 24dB for a 24dB GM.

The cancellation coverage depends on three temporal gaps, essentially 6μs large each, appropriate in continuous mode, and they entirely fully include a range from 0.5μs to 18.5μs. The three gaps can move individually, and they can be extended to 37.6μs. The settings and measuring values of Fig. 4 and 5 are shown in Table I.

The DEEC graphs, of the input-output signal selection, are shown in Fig. 4 and 5, respectively.

DEEC can also suppress any multipath and even Doppler echo in a mobile cancellation gap going up to 37, 6 μs. The echo cancellation level (20dB) with DEEC is presented in Fig. 4(a) and 4(b). The 20dB level is higher than the signal using DEEC and with the best output MER (27dB).

The return echoes can reach high levels at the input signal. In that case, to obtain an active suppression of this echo of return, its corresponding cancels gap use an external reference. This cancellation gap system should always be active, which is shown in Fig. 6.

### TABLE I. SETTING AND MEASURING THE VALUE OF FIG. 4 AND 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Fig. 4</th>
<th>Fig. 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV/Radio Standard:</td>
<td>OFDM DVB-T/H</td>
<td>OFDM DVB-T/H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHF RF</td>
<td>562 MHz</td>
<td>562 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Bandwidth:</td>
<td>8 MHz</td>
<td>8 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal level</td>
<td>-36.50 dBm</td>
<td>-6.50 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation</td>
<td>0 dB</td>
<td>31 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td>0.0 e-8</td>
<td>0.0 e-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MER</td>
<td>28.1 dB</td>
<td>28.7dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMOD</td>
<td>MPEG</td>
<td>MPEG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4. DEEC Echo Canceller: Input Signal Spectrum.](image)

![Fig. 5. DEEC Echo Canceller: Output Signal Spectrum.](image)
Fig. 6. Cancelation Gaps.

Fig. 7 shows the configurations of the most common DEEC gap positions, which cover a wide variety of cancellation scenarios.

There are three configurable cancellation gaps in the DEEC, namely:

Continuous cancellation: a continuous gap of 18μs
Selective cancellation: up to 37.6μs
Cancel any echo present in the cancellation gap (multipath propagation).

The following are the user-configurable parameters for the canceller:

Gap Nº 1: Defines the cancellation velocity of gap Nº1.
Gap Nº2: Defines the cancellation velocity of gap Nº 2.
Gap Nº3: Defines the cancellation velocity of gap Nº 3.

The cancellation velocity is directly related to the ability to track and cancel echoes with fast frequency and amplitude changes as present on Doppler, Rice, or Rayleigh channels.

However, increasing the speed of a cancellation gap creates a penalization on the output signal MER. The users should examine the different speeds to find a compromise between cancellation performance and output signal MER.

Gap Nº1: Activates/deactivates the cancellation gap Nº 1.
Gap Nº3: Activates/deactivates the cancellation gap Nº 3.

Gap Nº 1 and Gap Nº 3 are designed to suppress SFN echoes. These kinds of echoes may not appear/be relevant in every cancellation scenario, so these gaps can be switched off to improve the output signal MER, as explained above.

However, gap Nº2 is designed to challenge feedback echoes (which can be higher than the fundamental input signal), so it must continuously be activated to avoid feedback amplification that may harm and damage the equipment.

Gap Nº1: Defines the cancellation starting point of the gap Nº1. The available values are 0.5μs - 31.6μs; the default value is 12.0μs.

Gap Nº2: Represents the cancellation starting point of the gap Nº 2. The available values for setting up the gap Nº2 position are 5.0μs - 36.1μs; the default value is 6.0μs.

Gap Nº3: Defines the cancellation beginning point of the gap Nº 3. The available values are 0.5μs - 31.6μs; the default value is 18.0μs.

Each of these gaps has a time duration of almost 6μs in an 8MHz bandwidth operation.

B. DAE: Technical Representation

The DAE echo canceller is designed to effectively moderate echo conditions guaranteeing a GM level of support 15dB GM and equalizing spectrum for robust feature extraction for the more robust fight against echoes. Furthermore, DEA is capable of removing any echo caused by the presence of coupling between the broadcasting, receiving antenna, more precisely, the input signal whose delay varies between zero and 8μs. DAE circuit can also rectify the distortions in the GF input signal amplitude, which are within the cancellation gap, caused by multipath propagation. The settings and measuring values of Fig. 8 and 9 are shown in Table II.

The DAE graphs of the input-output signal selection are shown in Fig. 8 and 9, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Fig. 8</th>
<th>Fig. 9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TV/Radio Standard</td>
<td>OFDM DVB-T/H</td>
<td>OFDM DVB-T/H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ch</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHF RF</td>
<td>562 Mhz</td>
<td>562 Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Channel Bandwidth</td>
<td>8 Mhz</td>
<td>8 Mhz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signal level</td>
<td>-40 dBm</td>
<td>16 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attenuation</td>
<td>0 dB</td>
<td>20 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td>0.0 e-8</td>
<td>0.0 e-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MER</td>
<td>28.1 dB</td>
<td>28.7 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEMOD</td>
<td>MPEG</td>
<td>MPEG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To perform the measurement equipment ROMAX TV Explorer HD+ was used as a level meter. It is used to analyze the DVB-H/T/T2 signal providing several quality measurements (Power, C/N, MER, BER, constellation diagram, etc.). The results of the study could be useful for the DVB-T/H/T2 broadcast improvement, such as Tx adjustment and GF installation, to optimize the DTB efficiency.

Measurement points can be selected 1 Km away from the transmitter location, which means that the measurement points that will possibly be on each radiation path should not be more than 20°.

B. Intermodulation Measurements

We consider passing the signal through a GF, which is measured with Signal analyzer ANRITSU. Fig. 8(a) and 8(b) show the IMD Shoulder performance measurements of frequency relative to the center frequency of 8 MHz DVB-T versus Level measured, with 100 kHz resolution bandwidth (RBW). Fig. 10 represents the Standard GF (SGF), without DEEC & DAE, while Fig. 11 represents the PGF.

The nonlinear distortion in Fig. 10 caused by echoes phenomenon further brings an IMD Shoulder degradation performance of about -16.08 dB compared to the result proposed method Fig. 11. Therefore, the proposed Echo Cancellers, incorporated in GF, can still be used to improve the IMD performance of the DTT system significantly.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Measurement Results

The experimental measurements have been conducted mainly to evaluate the MER performances of the PGF scheme with a DEEC & DAE, compared with SGF, over a Rician multipath fading channel. The materials listed below are required during the installation of a GF, which are used in the results of indoor measurements.

- Computer with Ethernet cable.
- Signal analyzer ANRITSU model MS2712E or equivalent.
- Power Meter and Dummy load.
- RX antenna must have high directivity and high Ratio Front/Back.
- The professional Yagi antenna is suitable for this kind of installation.

The Tx antenna should not have a very open radiation diagram; ideally, the main lobe has 90° to 180°. It is also essential to pay attention to the side lobes, as they can affect the Rx antenna and cause strong coupling (echo) between receiving and transmitting signals.
It should be noted that, based on experience, the increasing attenuation can surely minimize the IMD contribution of the RF signal analyzer. The measure of the third-order distortion with a significant attenuation is not detectable because it corners with the measurement values located outside tolerance.

C. MER Measurements

Fig. 12 and 13 present MER/Constellation measurement of the signal received from the test interface of the SGF and PGF, respectively, giving their performance comparison, 0 dB corresponds to average output power. Fig. 12 shows the proven MER measurement for a DVB-T signal that was mainly affected by unwanted modulator phase noise. It shows that the transmission quality of DVB-T is not satisfactory.

Fig. 13 illustrates the PGF result, and the constellation diagram also depicts a high-quality DVB-T signal (the points are not scattered).

From Fig. 12 and 13, it can be observed that the MER performance of the PGF is better than SGF; an improvement can reach up to 15 dB. We also observe that the DEEC & DAE can improve the robustness of the constellation, free of noise and interferences that lead to the better average. Therefore, a higher MER value promotes wide broad coverage area.

D. Comparison between the PGF and the Adaptive GF Technique

To further evaluate the performance of the proposed method, we compare it to another Echo Canceller method, more particularly, the adaptive GF technique. Fig. 14 and 15 (“MER vs. CARRIER”) show the Echo Pattern of AGF technique compared to the PGF.

The average MER value is represented, in the screen, by a red line, which is measured in the whole channel (RMS) of about 37.4 dB (Adaptive GF) and 42.21 dB (PGF). It observed that the MER of PGF is better than the MER of AGF.

E. Comparison of an Existing Technique

Fig. 16 and 17 show the comparison simulation results (MER and receive signal levels) of different GF techniques obtained in four echo cancellation, namely standard GF(SGF), finite impulse response (FIR) filter, GF, adaptive GF, and the PGF.

Fig. 12. MER and Constellation Measurement: SGF.

Fig. 13. MER and Constellation Measurement: PGF.

Fig. 14. MER vs. Carrier of Adaptive GF.

Fig. 15. MER vs. Carrier of PGF.
Simulation results (Fig. 16 and 17) show perfect agreement with those obtained by measurements. Moreover, the MER and receive signal levels quality obtained by the PGF technique is much better than that obtained by SGF, FIR filter GF, and AGF.

F. General Analysis and Discussion

Table III summarizes the comparison between the different existing techniques obtained by four different Echo Cancellation techniques.

The Summary of analysis results, in Table III, illustrates that in four cases, the PGF outperforms the existing techniques. The proposed GF outperform standard GF(SGF), finite impulse response (FIR) filter (FIR GF), GF and adaptive GF (AGF) techniques by 33%, 17%, and 13%, respectively, this outperformance is also characterized by:

- Maximizing received signal quality: better than -60dBm level, MER.
- Better than 37dB and lower incidence of multipath.
- Less coupling between the TX and RX antennas: Echo Level always less than -20dB.
- Can suppress high feedback echo levels.

### Table III. Comparison of the Proposed GF with Other GF Techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Echo cancellation Techniques</th>
<th>MER dB</th>
<th>IND dB</th>
<th>Echo levels dB</th>
<th>Signal quality dBm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SGF</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>-32.07</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>-80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIR GF</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>-37.8</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>-71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGF</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>-40.8</td>
<td>-18</td>
<td>-66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PGF</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>-48.15</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>-58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DEEC can also suppress any multipath, and even Doppler echo in a free cancellation gap going up to 37.6 μs.

Also, in light of the findings, and achievement of the aims and objectives of the study, the results (with directive antennas on both the gap filler side and the principal transmitter side) are depicted in Fig. 16 and 17, in terms of MER/Reception signal level vs. UHF channel frequencies MHz respectively, between transmitter and receiver. It can be noted that the proposed solution provides the most extensive MER, and therefore seems to be the most suitable to provide coverage in SFN with a reduced number of GF.

The result is mainly related to the highly efficient air interface of the conventional GFs and, in particular, to its advanced coding technique. What is not taken into account in this study is the effect of severe multipath on the modulation schemes (ideal synchronization is assumed).

The overall research approach would suffer more than the many competitors, which are based on OFDM modulation, more robust to multipath. Such a drawback could be partially compensated by the antenna directivity, which provides a kind of spatial filtering of the echoes. Among the OFDM-based systems, the most extensive MER is provided by the DVB-H solution. The selection of the research method suffers for the weak coding scheme on the physical layer (i.e., error-correcting codes) in SFN, which requires precise synchronization and more considerable bandwidth.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the authors propose an efficient GF, including two echo cancellations, DEEC, and DAE, as a critical technique to solve the echo and interference problem in the SFN environment. Furthermore, it presents DAE and DEEC for ideally limit the isolation, in SFN, between the broadcasting and receiving antennas. The modified GF scheme (PGF) with DAE and DEEC echo canceller is proposed to cover shadow zones cancelling echoes with no self-interference from adjacent transmitters for DTT in SFN. Also, these GFs able to retransmit the RF signal under the most challenging echo and multipath propagation conditions removing high feedback echo levels. The PGF gives an excellent MER and can even remove the Doppler Effect. In our future work, we will use these areas as starting points for strengthening information protection for the new DVB generation in SFN.
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