Explainable Artificial Intelligence for Urban Planning: Challenges, Solutions, and Future Trends from a New Perspective

Shan TONG¹, Shaokang LI^{2*}

Organization Department, Shijiazhuang College of Applied Technology, Shijiazhuang 050000, China¹ Informatization Center, Hebei Normal University, Shijiazhuang 050000, China²

*Abstract***—Integrating Artificial Intelligence (AI) into urban planning transforms resource allocation and sustainable development. Nevertheless, the lack of transparency in some AI models raises questions about accountability and public trust. This paper investigates the role of Explainable AI (XAI) in urban planning, focusing on its ability to improve transparency and build trust between stakeholders. The study comprehensively examines approaches to achieving explainability, encompassing rule-based systems and interpretable machine learning models. Case studies illustrate the effective application of XAI in practical urban planning situations and highlight the critical role of transparency in the decision-making flow. This study examines the barriers that hinder the smooth integration of XAI into urban planning methodologies. These challenges include ethical concerns, the complexity of the models used, and the need for explanations tailored to specific areas.**

Keywords—Explainable artificial intelligence; urban planning; rule-based systems; machine learning

I. INTRODUCTION

Urban planning is the organized arrangement and administration of urban areas to ensure sustainable development and improve living standards [1]. Urban planning includes deliberately distributing resources, establishing infrastructure, and implementing land use rules to tackle the intricate issues posed by expanding cities [2]. Urban planning is vital to contemporary society as it facilitates the effective allocation of resources, stimulating economic development, and advancing social fairness and environmental sustainability [3]. Urban planning encompasses but is not limited to, population expansion, guaranteeing access to vital services and facilities, fostering public health and safety, safeguarding cultural heritage, and reducing environmental consequences [4, 5]. Urban planners use thorough planning processes to develop dynamic, durable, and inclusive communities that meet their residents' different requirements while protecting future generations' interests [6, 7].

Thanks to technological innovations, urban planning has experienced a significant transformation, relying heavily on data-driven strategies [8]. This process includes collecting, analyzing, and presenting data using various tools and platforms [9]. Geographic Information Systems (GIS), remote sensing technologies, and big data analytics offer information on urban trends like population growth, road conditions, and environmental factors [10]. Incorporating technology into urban planning operations can improve decision-making, enhance infrastructure development, and predict trends accurately [11]. Nevertheless, increased acceptance also brings challenges, including concerns about data privacy, disparities in access to technology, and the requirement for specific technical knowledge. To effectively address technology limitations, urban planning organizations must strategically plan and prioritize robust facilities and capacity-building efforts [12].

Artificial Intelligence (AI) mimics human intelligence through machines, particularly computers [13]. The applications of AI are widespread, including in medical care, finance, and urban planning [14]. The significance of AI in urban planning lies in its ability to influence decision-making processes, improve resource allocation, and solve complex urban issues [15]. With AI-powered tools and algorithms, planners can predict future trends, simulate different scenarios, and optimize interventions for optimal results [16]. AI has several applications in urban planning, including predictive models of traffic congestion and public transportation demand, optimization algorithms for land use planning and infrastructure construction, and machine learning-based systems for identifying trends and analyzing spatial data [17]. Through AI, city planners can make informed decisions, increase productivity, and create more resilient and sustainable communities for future generations [18].

Incorporating AI into urban environments has a range of ethical and societal consequences that necessitate meticulous deliberation [19]. The main concerns are privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable sharing of advantages and risks [20]. Moreover, decision-making procedures guided by AI have the potential to unintentionally strengthen pre-existing disparities, resulting in social exclusion or intensifying urban inequities [21]. Furthermore, there are notable obstacles to creating AI solutions for urban planning, including issues with data compatibility, the ability to handle large-scale operations, and the need for clear and understandable algorithms [22, 23]. Nevertheless, notwithstanding these obstacles, the potential advantages of incorporating AI into urban construction are immense. AI can potentially enhance resource allocation, urban mobility through predictive analytics, and disaster preparedness and response by identifying vulnerabilities and optimizing evacuation routes [24]. Furthermore, AI-powered solutions can increase community involvement and active participation in urban planning, ultimately leading to more inclusive and

^{*}Corresponding Author.

sustainable communities. In urban settings, it is important to balance ethical concerns, technical challenges, and the revolutionary potential of AI to harness its advantages while minimizing its drawbacks fully [25].

Transparency is crucial in decision-making processes in urban planning since it promotes accountability, credibility, and confidence among stakeholders [26]. Transparency in decisionmaking enables stakeholders to understand the underlying reasons for urban development decisions and actively engage in developing their communities [27]. Transparent approaches, such as implementing open data initiatives, conducting public consultations, and communicating decision criteria, foster confidence among stakeholders, including residents, legislators, and advocacy groups. Nevertheless, the lack of transparency in AI algorithms raises questions regarding transparency in urban planning [28]. The opaque nature of numerous AI models may impede stakeholders' capacity to comprehend and analyze the judgments made by these systems. To tackle these challenges, it is necessary to focus on creating Explainable AI (XAI) solutions that offer understandable insights into the decision-making processes led by AI [29]. Urban planners can strengthen stakeholder confidence, promote accountability, and create inclusive and participatory urban development by prioritizing transparency and implementing XAI approaches.

Multiple scholars have investigated the concept of XAI in different situations related to urban planning. Thakker, et al. [30] emphasize the significance of XAI in smart cities, specifically for flood monitoring. They propose a hybrid methodology that combines deep learning with semantic web technologies to improve the interpretability and reliability of the system. Javed, et al. [31] conducted research that examines the use of XAI in smart cities. The study highlights the need of openness in AI systems to establish public confidence. Wagner, et al. [32] examine the contribution of XAI in the advancement of smart city solutions, with a specific emphasis on using domain knowledge to enhance the interpretability of AI. These works emphasize the crucial importance of transparency and explainability in AI models used in urban planning. They highlight existing solutions and identify areas that require further investigation.

This paper thoroughly investigates the incorporation of XAI in urban planning, specifically to improve trust and transparency in decision-making procedures. The research analyzes the approaches used to achieve explainability in AI models in urban planning. These methodologies include rulebased systems and interpretable machine-learning models. In addition, the obstacles and factors to be considered when implementing XAI in urban planning processes are identified and examined while emphasizing solutions to overcome these obstacles. Moreover, the influence of XAI on the public's perception and trust in urban decision-making is assessed based on empirical evidence and case studies. This study enhances comprehension of the relationship between AI technology and urban development by examining the impact of XAI on transparency and trust in urban planning.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. Section II discusses XAI for urban planning, detailing its importance and methodologies. Section III addresses the challenges and considerations in implementing XAI in urban planning. Section IV presents the results and discussion of our research findings. Section V explores future directions for further research. Finally, Section VI concludes the paper, summarizing key insights and implications.

II. EXPLAINABLE AI FOR URBAN PLANNING

Fig. 1 depicts a sequential procedure for incorporating XAI methods into urban planning. The process begins with the acquisition of data from different urban sources, which is then followed by preprocessing and feature engineering to make the data prepared for analysis. Afterwards, the processed data is used to train machine learning models using XAI approaches to guarantee interpretability. Urban planning decision-making processes incorporate the understandable insights produced by the trained models. The iterative process emphasizes the significance of XAI in improving transparency and fostering trust in urban development.

A. Rule-based Systems

Rule-based or expert systems are AI that employ a predetermined set of rules to generate decisions or suggestions. These rules typically take the form of if-then statements, where specific conditions trigger corresponding actions or conclusions. Experts encode domain-specific knowledge in rule-based systems to guide decision-making [33].

These systems function by comparing input conditions to a predetermined set of rules, triggering related actions or conclusions based on the conditions met [34, 35]. Experts in the field collaborate to develop the rules, ensuring they accurately reflect the complexities of the problem domain. As listed in Table I, urban planning extensively uses rule-based systems for various purposes, including land use zoning, transit management, environmental regulation, emergency response planning, and economic development. For example, in land use planning, rule-based systems can ascertain allowable land uses by considering criteria such as zoning restrictions, environmental limitations, and community preferences. Similarly, in the transportation management field, these systems can optimize the timing of traffic signals, allocate routes efficiently, and enforce parking restrictions to improve urban mobility and decrease congestion.

Transparency and interpretability are vital advantages of rule-based systems. Due to specific rules, stakeholders can comprehend the rationale behind the outcomes of the system's decision-making process, fostering confidence and accountability in decision-making procedures [36, 37]. Furthermore, rule-based systems are adaptable, allowing for the integration of new rules or the modification of existing regulations to align with evolving situations or planned goals. Nevertheless, rule-based systems also pose challenges, such as the requirement for substantial expertise to create and improve rules and limitations in scalability when addressing intricate or ever-changing urban planning issues. However, their transparency and interpretability make them excellent instruments for supporting informed decision-making and promoting collaboration among stakeholders in urban planning endeavors.

(IJACSA) International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, Vol. 15, No. 7, 2024

Fig. 1. Workflow of implementing XAI in urban planning.

B. Interpretable Machine Learning Models

Interpretable machine learning is essential, particularly in urban planning fields where transparency and clarity are paramount [38]. Unlike black box models, interpretable models offer transparent decision-making processes and enable understanding of the reasoning behind their results. This level of transparency allows urban planning stakeholders, including politicians, city officials, and community members, to comprehend the variables that impact model forecasts and make well-informed choices. When it comes to urban planning, where decisions significantly affect citizens' lives and community growth, it is critical to have the skill to analyze and comprehend model predictions. Interpretable machine learning models, such as decision trees, linear models, and rule-based systems, offer transparent explanations of their decisionmaking process. This allows stakeholders to verify the model's outcomes, detect potential biases, and evaluate the effectiveness of recommended solutions. Furthermore, interpretable machine learning models enhance cooperation and information exchange among diverse participants in urban planning procedures. These models enhance trust and improve consensus building by offering precise and understandable insights and promoting more inclusive and equitable methods for urban development.

Table II shows that interpretable machine learning includes different model types, each with strengths and easy-tounderstand features for various data and problem domains.

Decision trees are models that hierarchize data into decision nodes based on feature properties. This recursive process makes decision trees easy to comprehend and display. Decision trees are useful in urban planning for determining the main elements that impact different outcomes, such as land use patterns, transportation choices, and demographic trends. Decision trees offer a clear and understandable understanding of the interplay between many factors that impact urban phenomena, thereby facilitating decision-makers in identifying practical and implementable insights.

TABLE II. MODEL TYPES AND THEIR CHARACTERISTICS IN INTERPRETABLE MACHINE LEARNING

Model Type	Description	Strengths	
Decision trees	Intuitive models that recursively partition data into hierarchical decision nodes based on feature attributes	Easy to understand and visualize; transparent decision logic; identify key factors influencing outcomes	
Linear models	Models that provide straightforward interpretations of the relationships between input variables and outcomes	Clear insights into the direction and magnitude of the impact of each input variable on the outcome	
Rule- based systems	Systems that employ a predetermined set of rules to generate decisions α suggestions	Transparent decision-making process; adaptable to new rules or modifications; supports informed decision- making	

Conversely, linear models like linear regression or logistic regression offer straightforward explanations of the connections between input variables and outputs. These models assume a direct and proportional link between the input features and the goal variable. They are best suited for situations where the correlations are primarily linear, which is frequently the case with urban planning data. Linear models offer a lucid understanding of the direction and extent of the influence of each input variable on the outcome. This enables stakeholders to comprehend the elements that drive urban phenomena and make well-informed decisions.

Machine learning models play a crucial role in urban planning by providing insights into complex urban phenomena and aiding in informed decisions. As shown in Table III, decision trees, for instance, can identify critical variables affecting land use patterns, such as proximity to amenities, transportation infrastructure, and zoning rules. They can also forecast property prices, aid stakeholders understand property values, and provide information on housing policy and development strategies. Linear regression models, on the other hand, can evaluate the impact of infrastructure investments on property values, enabling planners to prioritize projects, forecast traffic congestion, and aid in traffic management policies.

TABLE III. APPLICATIONS OF INTERPRETABLE MACHINE LEARNING MODELS IN URBAN PLANNING

Application	Description	Examples	Benefits
Identifying key factors	Decision trees help identify key factors influencing various such outcomes. land as use patterns or demographic trends	Identifying factors influencing land decisions, use predicting transportation preferences	Transparent decision-making process, actionable insights for decision-makers
Predicting housing prices	Linear regression models can housing predict prices based on neighborhood characteristics	Predicting housing prices based _{on} neighborhood characteristics	Assists in housing policy formulation. supports informed decision-making regarding housing development
Estimating infrastructure impact	Linear regression models estimate the impact of infrastructure investments $_{\rm on}$ property values	Estimating the impact of infrastructure projects $_{\rm on}$ property values	Helps prioritize infrastructure investments. assesses potential return on investment
Forecasting traffic congestion	Linear regression models forecast traffic congestion levels based α n demographic and transportation data	Forecasting traffic congestion levels based on population density, road infrastructure, etc.	Guides transportation policy and infrastructure planning, improves urban mobility and efficiency

C. Post-Hoc Interpretability Methods

Post-hoc interpretability approaches enhance transparency and responsibility in decision-making processes, particularly in urban planning [39]. These methods, implemented posttraining as a machine learning model, provide stakeholders valuable insights into its predictions. They can be applied to any model, regardless of complexity or algorithm. Post-hoc interpretability enhances stakeholders' trust, responsibility, and understanding, promoting well-informed decision-making and ensuring alignment with community needs. It empowers stakeholders to participate actively in urban planning, promoting fair and sustainable development.

Two highly acknowledged post-hoc interpretability strategies that have gained prominence in machine learning are Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations (LIME) and Shapley Additive Explanations (SHAP). LIME is widely recognized for its ability to accurately explain intricate model predictions at a local level. This is accomplished by creating interpretable surrogate models that approximate the behavior of a complex model close to a precise prediction. Surrogate models, despite their more straightforward structure, accurately replicate the behavior of the original model, providing stakeholders with a transparent and understandable explanation of how the model made its prediction within a specific situation. LIME offers valuable insights into the decision-making process in urban planning scenarios by focusing on the particular instance of interest. These insights are interpretable, directly relevant, and actionable for stakeholders.

Conversely, SHAP adopts a distinct method for post-hoc interpretability. It utilizes cooperative game theory ideas to allocate each characteristic's contribution to the model's output. SHAP offers a thorough and universally understandable comprehension of the importance of features, providing insights into the relative impact of each input variable on the model's predictions throughout the whole dataset. SHAP facilitates stakeholders in obtaining profound insights into the underlying connections between variables and forecasts by quantifying the cooperative impact of individual features on the model's output. The ability to view feature relevance from a global perspective is crucial in urban planning. In this context, decision-makers must consider the comprehensive effects of different urban characteristics and actions on the desired overall outcomes. SHAP enables stakeholders to make well-informed decisions and successfully prioritize solutions to tackle intricate urban challenges by employing a rigorous and principled methodology.

Post-hoc interpretability methods include numerous advantages that render them excellent tools for comprehending and elucidating the predictions of black-box machine learning models. Nevertheless, they also come with specific constraints that must be considered. Post-hoc interpretability approaches possess a notable advantage in that they may be used in any black-box machine learning model. Whether the model relies on deep learning, ensemble approaches, or other intricate algorithms, post-hoc techniques such as LIME and SHAP can offer insights into its predictions. Post-hoc interpretability techniques enhance the clarity of opaque models by providing justifications for specific predictions. This level of transparency improves stakeholders' comprehension of the model's decisionmaking process, promoting trust and responsibility. These techniques produce practical insights into model projections, enabling stakeholders to discover key characteristics, comprehend their effect on the desired outcomes, and make well-informed decisions based on this understanding. Post-hoc interpretability approaches provide varying levels of depth. Stakeholders can analyze individual predictions or investigate broader patterns and trends in feature relevance based on their specific requirements and goals.

Post-hoc interpretability techniques frequently depend on simplified surrogate models to estimate the functioning of intricate black-box models. Although surrogate models attempt to replicate the fundamental decision-making process of the original model, they can incorporate approximation mistakes that restrict the explanations' precision. Specific post-hoc interpretability techniques, like SHAP, can need significant computational resources, especially when dealing with extensive datasets or intricate models. The computational complexity of this may present difficulties regarding scalability and real-time implementation in specific urban planning situations. Applying post-hoc approaches may involve a compromise between the model's accuracy and its interpretability. Utilizing simplified surrogate models for interpretation may compromise predictive performance in exchange for interpretability, impacting the model's overall accuracy. Post-hoc interpretability approaches offer valuable insights into individual predictions inside a particular context or region of the feature space. Nevertheless, these explanations may not consistently apply to various contexts or datasets, restricting their usefulness in certain situations.

III. CHALLENGES AND CONSIDERATIONS

A. Ethical Challenges

Ethical challenges in XAI for urban planning are multifaceted, arising from the intersection of technological innovation, societal impact, and governance. Understanding and addressing these challenges is crucial for fostering trust, equity, and accountability in AI-driven decision-making processes.

AI algorithms can perpetuate or exacerbate existing biases in urban data, leading to unfair or discriminatory outcomes. For example, biased data in predictive policing or housing allocation models may disproportionately target or disadvantage certain communities. Ensuring fairness requires proactive measures to identify, mitigate, and prevent bias in AI models and mechanisms for assessing and addressing disparate impacts on marginalized groups.

The opacity of AI algorithms poses challenges for transparency and accountability in urban planning decisionmaking. Without clear explanations of how AI models arrive at their conclusions, stakeholders may struggle to understand, scrutinize, or challenge decisions made by automated systems. Establishing mechanisms for transparent and interpretable AI, such as explainable machine learning techniques, is essential for ensuring accountability and fostering public trust in AIdriven urban planning processes.

AI-driven urban planning relies on vast amounts of data, including personal information, which raises concerns about privacy and surveillance. Robust privacy protections and ethical principles must govern the collection, analysis, and sharing of sensitive data to safeguard individuals' rights and liberties. Transparent data governance frameworks, informed consent mechanisms, and data anonymization techniques are critical for balancing the benefits of data-driven decisionmaking with privacy considerations.

Ethical AI in urban planning should prioritize human wellbeing, dignity, and autonomy. Designing AI systems that empower, rather than replace, human decision-makers is essential for preserving human agency and accountability. Human-centric design principles, such as participatory design processes and human-in-the-loop approaches, can ensure that AI technologies serve the needs and values of diverse urban communities while respecting their rights and autonomy.

AI can potentially exacerbate social inequalities if not deployed and governed ethically. Urban planners must consider the equitable distribution of resources, services, and opportunities when designing and implementing AI-driven initiatives. Engaging with diverse stakeholders, including marginalized communities, in developing and evaluating AI systems can help identify and address potential biases or disparities in urban planning outcomes.

B. Model Complexity

Model complexity refers to the intricacy and sophistication of machine learning models used in urban planning. While complex models may achieve high predictive accuracy, they often sacrifice interpretability. In urban planning, where stakeholders require transparent insights into decision-making processes, the impact of model complexity on interpretability is significant. Complex models, such as deep neural networks, may generate predictions based on intricate interactions among numerous features, making understanding the underlying mechanisms driving the model's decisions challenging. This lack of interpretability can hinder stakeholders' ability to trust, validate, and act upon model predictions, limiting the utility of AI-driven approaches in urban planning.

Complex machine learning models pose several challenges for XAI in urban planning. The black-box nature of these models obscures the decision-making process, making it difficult to explain how predictions are generated. Additionally, complex models may capture nuanced patterns and interactions in the data that are not readily interpretable by humans. This opacity impedes transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement in urban planning processes. Moreover, the computational complexity of complex models may limit their scalability and real-time applicability in dynamic urban environments, where timely decision-making is crucial.

Balancing model accuracy with interpretability is a key consideration in urban planning applications. While complex models may achieve higher predictive accuracy, they often sacrifice interpretability, making it challenging for stakeholders to understand and trust model predictions. Conversely, interpretable models, such as decision trees or linear regression, offer transparent insights into the decision-making process but may lack the predictive power of more complex models. Achieving a balance between accuracy and interpretability

involves carefully selecting and designing models that meet urban planning tasks' specific needs and objectives. This may involve trade-offs between predictive performance and transparency, depending on the context and requirements of the application.

Several techniques can be employed to simplify complex models while preserving accuracy and interpretability in urban planning applications. Ensemble methods, such as random forests or gradient boosting, combine multiple simpler models to capture complex patterns in the data while maintaining transparency and interpretability. Feature selection and dimensionality reduction techniques can also help simplify models by focusing on the most relevant features and reducing computational complexity. Additionally, model distillation approaches aim to transfer knowledge from complex models to simpler, more interpretable models, enabling stakeholders to understand and trust model predictions without sacrificing accuracy.

C. Domain-specific Explanations

Domain-specific explanations are critical in urban planning as they provide insights tailored to urban environments' unique characteristics and complexities. Unlike generic explanations, domain-specific explanations offer contextually relevant insights into urban planning decisions, allowing stakeholders to understand the rationale behind model predictions and interventions. These explanations enable urban planners to make informed decisions, engage with stakeholders effectively, and address complex urban challenges transparently and accountable.

Providing contextually relevant explanations in urban planning poses several challenges. Urban environments are multifaceted and dynamic, characterized by diverse socioeconomic, environmental, and cultural factors. As such, explaining model predictions in a way that resonates with stakeholders and addresses their specific concerns can be challenging. Additionally, urban systems' complexity and interconnectedness may require explanations beyond simple correlations or associations, necessitating sophisticated techniques for extracting and communicating relevant insights.

Tailoring explanations to different urban planning domains involves understanding stakeholders' needs, priorities, and knowledge levels. One strategy is to employ visualization techniques that contextualize model predictions within urban environments' spatial and temporal dynamics. For example, interactive maps or dashboards can illustrate how predicted outcomes vary across different neighborhoods or periods, helping stakeholders identify patterns and trends relevant to their planning decisions. Additionally, incorporating domainspecific terminology, metrics, and indicators into explanations enhances their relevance and comprehensibility for stakeholders with diverse backgrounds and expertise.

Engaging stakeholders in developing and refining explanations is essential for ensuring their relevance and effectiveness in urban planning contexts. Gathering feedback through participatory workshops, surveys, or interviews allows stakeholders to express their information needs, preferences, and concerns regarding model explanations. Incorporating

stakeholder feedback into the design and presentation of explanations enhances their clarity, usability, and acceptance among diverse audiences. Moreover, iterative feedback loops enable continuous improvement of explanations over time, ensuring they remain aligned with stakeholders' evolving needs and priorities.

D. Strategies for Overcoming Challenges

Collaborative approaches involve engaging diverse stakeholders, including policymakers, urban planners, AI researchers, ethicists, and community representatives, to develop and govern AI systems for urban planning. By fostering collaboration and dialogue among stakeholders, collaborative approaches ensure that AI technologies are developed and deployed ethically, transparently, and in alignment with societal values and priorities. This collaborative process can involve the establishment of multi-stakeholder committees, advisory boards, or working groups to guide AI development and governance frameworks, promote accountability, and address ethical concerns.

Interdisciplinary research and collaboration between AI experts and urban planners are essential for bridging the gap between technical expertise and domain knowledge in urban planning. By bringing together experts from diverse fields, such as computer science, data science, urban design, sociology, and geography, interdisciplinary collaborations facilitate the development of AI solutions tailored to urban environments' unique challenges and opportunities. These collaborations enable the co-creation of innovative AI-driven approaches, informed by technical insights and real-world urban planning expertise, to address complex urban challenges effectively.

Human-in-the-loop systems integrate human expertise and feedback into AI-driven decision-making processes, enhancing model interpretability and ensuring alignment with stakeholders' values and preferences. Human-in-the-loop systems enable transparent and accountable decision-making in urban planning by involving human stakeholders in interpreting and validating AI-generated insights. This integration of human expertise can take various forms, such as interactive visualization tools, participatory workshops, or decision support systems that allow stakeholders to explore and evaluate different scenarios and interventions collaboratively.

Continuous monitoring and evaluation of AI systems are essential for ensuring transparency, accountability, and ethical compliance throughout their lifecycle. This involves establishing mechanisms to monitor model performance, data quality, and potential biases and conducting regular audits and impact assessments to identify and address ethical concerns. Transparent reporting and documentation of AI systems' development, deployment, and outcomes enable stakeholders to understand and scrutinize their decision-making processes, fostering trust and accountability in AI-driven urban planning initiatives.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

XAI plays a pivotal role in shaping public trust in AI-driven decision-making processes, particularly in domains such as urban planning, where the stakes are high and decisions directly impact communities. XAI refers to the ability of AI systems to

provide transparent and interpretable explanations of their decisions, enabling stakeholders to understand the rationale behind AI-driven recommendations or predictions. By enhancing transparency, accountability, and predictability, XAI builds public trust in AI technologies and fosters confidence in their use for decision-making in urban contexts.

Several factors influence public trust in AI-driven decisionmaking processes, including transparency, accountability, fairness, and reliability. Transparency refers to the openness and clarity of AI systems in communicating their decisionmaking processes and underlying assumptions to stakeholders. Accountability involves mechanisms for holding AI systems and their operators responsible for their actions and outcomes. Fairness ensures that AI systems do not perpetuate or exacerbate existing biases or inequalities in decision-making. Reliability refers to AI systems' accuracy, consistency, and robustness in generating predictions or recommendations. Addressing these factors through XAI enhances public trust in AI-driven decision-making processes by assuring transparency, fairness, and reliability.

Transparency and interpretability are fundamental components of XAI that are crucial in building public trust in AI-driven decision-making processes. Transparent AI systems give stakeholders insights into the factors influencing decisions, allowing them to assess the validity, accuracy, and fairness of AI-driven recommendations or predictions. Interpretability enables stakeholders to understand how AI models arrive at their conclusions, facilitating meaningful engagement, validation, and feedback from diverse stakeholders. By providing transparent and interpretable explanations of AIdriven decisions, XAI builds public trust by demystifying AI technologies, empowering stakeholders, and fostering confidence in their use for addressing complex urban challenges.

Transparency in urban planning decision-making is crucial for ensuring accountability, inclusivity, and legitimacy in the governance of cities. Transparent decision-making processes enable stakeholders, including residents, community organizations, policymakers, and advocacy groups, to understand how decisions are made, who is involved, and what factors are considered. By providing visibility into the decisionmaking process, transparency promotes public participation, fosters trust, and enhances the legitimacy of urban planning initiatives. Moreover, transparency facilitates identifying and mitigating biases, conflicts of interest, and other ethical considerations that may impact decision outcomes.

Transparent decision-making in urban planning contributes to building public trust and confidence in governmental institutions, urban planners, and decision-makers. When stakeholders have access to information about decision-making processes, they feel empowered to engage meaningfully in shaping the future of their communities. Transparency promotes accountability by allowing stakeholders to hold decision-makers accountable for their actions and decisions. Moreover, transparent decision-making enhances the credibility and legitimacy of urban planning initiatives, leading to greater public acceptance and support for policies, projects, and interventions to improve the quality of life in cities. Several strategies can be employed to enhance transparency and accountability in AI-driven urban planning processes.

- Open data policies: Implement policies that make relevant urban data accessible to stakeholders, enabling greater transparency and collaboration in decisionmaking processes.
- XAI Technologies: Incorporate XAI techniques into AIdriven decision-making systems to provide transparent and interpretable explanations of AI-generated recommendations or predictions.
- Stakeholder engagement: Engage stakeholders, including residents, community organizations, and advocacy groups, in decision-making processes through participatory approaches, public consultations, and community engagement initiatives.
- Ethical guidelines and standards: Develop and implement ethical policies and standards for AI-driven urban planning initiatives, ensuring adherence to principles of fairness, accountability, transparency, and inclusivity.
- Independent oversight and review: Establish independent oversight mechanisms, such as advisory boards or review panels, to monitor and evaluate AIdriven urban planning processes, providing checks and balances and enhancing accountability.
- Transparency reports: Publish transparency reports documenting the decision-making process, data sources, methodologies, and assumptions underlying AI-driven recommendations or predictions, promoting transparency and accountability to stakeholders.

By implementing these strategies, urban planners and decision-makers can enhance transparency and accountability in AI-driven urban planning processes, promoting public trust, confidence, and engagement in shaping the future of cities.

V. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Human-in-the-loop approaches emphasize the collaboration between AI systems and human experts to leverage both strengths. Urban planners can benefit from domain knowledge, intuition, and contextual understanding that AI systems may lack by integrating human expertise into AI-driven decisionmaking processes. This collaboration enhances AI-generated insights' robustness, interpretability, and relevance, leading to more informed and effective urban planning decisions. Through close cooperation, human experts can provide valuable inputs, validate AI-generated recommendations, and guide the development and refinement of AI models, ensuring that they align with stakeholders' needs and priorities.

Human-in-the-loop approaches involve integrating stakeholder feedback and expertise into AI-driven decisionmaking processes to enhance transparency, inclusivity, and accountability. Stakeholders, including residents, community organizations, policymakers, and advocacy groups, possess valuable insights, preferences, and concerns that can inform AI models and decision outcomes. By soliciting and incorporating stakeholder feedback throughout the decision-making process,

urban planners can ensure that AI-driven recommendations reflect diverse perspectives, address community needs, and promote equitable outcomes. Moreover, involving stakeholders in decision-making fosters greater trust, engagement, and ownership of urban planning initiatives, leading to more sustainable and inclusive urban development.

Human-in-the-loop approaches involve designing interactive interfaces and visualization tools that enable stakeholders to interact with AI-driven decision-making processes transparently and engagingly. These interfaces provide stakeholders with intuitive access to AI-generated insights, allowing them to explore, interrogate, and understand the underlying data, assumptions, and decision criteria. By designing user-friendly, visually appealing interfaces accessible to diverse audiences, urban planners can democratize AI-driven decision-making processes, empower stakeholders to participate meaningfully in urban planning discussions, and foster transparency and accountability in decision outcomes. Additionally, interactive interfaces facilitate real-time collaboration and feedback, enabling stakeholders to co-create solutions, identify trade-offs, and navigate complex urban challenges collaboratively.

Cultural biases in AI models and algorithms can arise from various sources, including biased training data, algorithmic design choices, and inherent biases in interpreting cultural norms and values. Recognizing and mitigating these biases is essential to ensure that AI-driven decision-making processes are fair, equitable, and inclusive. This involves conducting thorough bias assessments and audits of AI models and algorithms to identify potential sources of cultural bias. Once identified, mitigation strategies can be implemented, such as adjusting training data to represent cultural diversity better, refining algorithmic algorithms to account for cultural nuances, and incorporating fairness and equity metrics into model evaluation frameworks.

Addressing cultural biases in AI-driven urban planning requires incorporating cultural diversity and sensitivity into data collection and analysis processes. This involves collecting and curating diverse datasets that reflect urban populations' cultural, social, and demographic diversity. Data analysis techniques should also be sensitive to cultural differences and contextual factors that may influence decision outcomes. By considering cultural diversity in data collection and analysis, urban planners can ensure that AI-driven decision-making processes are sensitive to diverse communities' needs, preferences, and values, promoting fairness, inclusivity, and social equity.

Promoting diversity and inclusivity in AI development teams and processes is essential for addressing cultural biases and ensuring that AI technologies are developed and deployed responsibly. This involves fostering diverse perspectives, backgrounds, and experiences within AI development teams, including individuals from different cultural, ethnic, and socioeconomic backgrounds. Additionally, promoting inclusivity in AI development processes requires involving stakeholders from diverse communities in designing, developing, and validating AI-driven solutions. By promoting diversity and inclusivity, urban planners can ensure that AI technologies are sensitive to cultural differences and responsive to the needs and concerns of all urban residents, thereby promoting social equity and inclusion in urban planning processes.

For ethical AI use in analysing cities, further development of ethical guidelines and norms is necessary. Such standards should address the principles of ethics for creating AI technologies, managing urbanization, making legislation, and numerous other decision-makers who are involved in integrating AI technologies. Ethical principles may include obligations to justice, reasonableness, purpose, confidentiality, and duty to society including marginalized persons. Thus, using ethical principles in actions and decisions related to the integration of AI into the planning of cities will help maintain ethical principles in initiatives related to AI and support the positive impact of AI technologies on people's lives.

Another issue that should be taken seriously into consideration is the principles of fairness, equity and the protection of privacy in the application of AI in urban planning. Artificial intelligence environments should be developed and implemented in such a way that everyone will have an equal treatment with no discrimination based on their race, gender, tribe, or wealth. Moreover, proper procedures should be put in place to guard the identity and privacy rights of the people featured in such datasets from invasion as provided for under the relevant privacy policies. By increasing awareness of fairness, equity, and privacy issues in the use of AI, urban planners can reduce potential biases and serve the function of advocating for social justice and protection of individual rights and human dignity.

VI. CONCLUSION

AI implementation in urban planning introduces a shift in resource management for sustainability in the development of cities. However, opacity or absence of openness in certain models gave accountability and public trust concerns. This paper aimed to explain the importance of applying XAI for the advancement of urban planning as well as its efficiency for enhancing trust between the parties involved. The study comprehensively examined approaches to achieving explainability, encompassing rule-based systems and interpretable machine-learning models. Case studies demonstrated the effective use of XAI in practical urban planning situations and highlighted the critical importance of transparency in the decision-making process. This study examined the barriers that hindered the smooth integration of XAI into urban planning methodologies. These challenges included ethical concerns, the complexity of the models used, and the need for explanations tailored to specific areas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Not applicable.

NOMENCLATURE

AI: Artificial intelligence

XAI: Explainable artificial intelligence

GIS: Geographic information system

LIME: Local interpretable model-agnostic explanations

SHAP: Shapley additive explanations

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ju, "Presenting a Planning Model for Urban Waste Transportation and Selling Recycled Products with a Green Chain Approach," International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, vol. 14, no. 5, 2023.
- [2] S. C. Serrai and K. A. Djiar, "Algiers master plan, land use and forced relocation: Monitoring change with a spatial decision support system," Land Use Policy, vol. 139, p. 107065, 2024.
- [3] O. P. Agboola and M. Tunay, "Urban resilience in the digital age: The influence of Information-Communication Technology for sustainability," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 428, p. 139304, 2023.
- [4] X. Zeng, Y. Yu, S. Yang, Y. Lv, and M. N. I. Sarker, "Urban resilience for urban sustainability: Concepts, dimensions, and perspectives," Sustainability, vol. 14, no. 5, p. 2481, 2022.
- [5] Q. B. Baloch et al., "Impact of tourism development upon environmental sustainability: a suggested framework for sustainable ecotourism," Environmental Science and Pollution Research, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 5917- 5930, 2023.
- [6] R. Falanga, "Participatory design: participatory urban management," in Sustainable Cities and Communities: Springer, 2020, pp. 449-457.
- [7] M. Bargahi and A. Yazici, "Selecting the Representative Travel Time Reliability Measure Based on Metric (Dis) Agreement Patterns," International Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems Research, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 36-47, 2023, doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13177-022- 00336-y
- [8] A. A. Anvigh, Y. Khavan, and B. Pourghebleh, "Transforming Vehicular Networks: How 6G can Revolutionize Intelligent Transportation?," Science, Engineering and Technology, vol. 4, no. 1, 2024.
- [9] B. Pourghebleh and N. J. Navimipour, "Data aggregation mechanisms in the Internet of things: A systematic review of the literature and recommendations for future research," Journal of Network and Computer Applications, vol. 97, pp. 23-34, 2017.
- [10] D. Yu and C. Fang, "Urban remote sensing with spatial big data: A review and renewed perspective of urban studies in recent decades," Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 5, p. 1307, 2023.
- [11] S. E. Bibri, "Data-driven smart sustainable cities of the future: Urban computing and intelligence for strategic, short-term, and joined-up planning," Computational Urban Science, vol. 1, no. 1, p. 8, 2021.
- [12] A. Imran, "Why addressing digital inequality should be a priority," The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries, vol. 89, no. 3, p. e12255, 2023.
- [13] B. Pourghebleh, A. A. Anvigh, A. R. Ramtin, and B. Mohammadi, "The importance of nature-inspired meta-heuristic algorithms for solving virtual machine consolidation problem in cloud environments," Cluster Computing, pp. 1-24, 2021.
- [14] S. Vairachilai, A. Bostani, A. Mehbodniya, J. L. Webber, O. Hemakesavulu, and P. Vijayakumar, "Body sensor 5 G networks utilising deep learning architectures for emotion detection based on EEG signal processing," Optik, p. 170469, 2022.
- [15] S. Jaferian and M. Rezvani, "Export New Product Success: The Impact of Market and Technology Orientation," International Journal of Management, Accounting & Economics, vol. 1, no. 5, 2014.
- [16] S. Mathur and A. Jaiswal, "Demystifying the Role of Artificial Intelligence in Neurodegenerative Diseases," in AI and Neuro-Degenerative Diseases: Insights and Solutions: Springer, 2024, pp. 1-33.
- [17] M. Akhtar and S. Moridpour, "A review of traffic congestion prediction using artificial intelligence," Journal of Advanced Transportation, vol. 2021, pp. 1-18, 2021.
- [18] X. Ye, S. Wang, Z. Lu, Y. Song, and S. Yu, "Towards an AI-driven framework for multi-scale urban flood resilience planning and design," Computational Urban Science, vol. 1, pp. 1-12, 2021.
- [19] S. P. Rajput et al., "Using machine learning architecture to optimize and model the treatment process for saline water level analysis," Water Reuse, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 51-67, 2023.
- [20] R. Alsabt, Y. A. Adenle, and H. M. Alshuwaikhat, "Exploring the Roles, Future Impacts, and Strategic Integration of Artificial Intelligence in the Optimization of Smart City—From Systematic Literature Review to Conceptual Model," Sustainability, vol. 16, no. 8, p. 3389, 2024.
- [21] C. Giordano, M. Brennan, B. Mohamed, P. Rashidi, F. Modave, and P. Tighe, "Accessing artificial intelligence for clinical decision-making," Frontiers in digital health, vol. 3, p. 645232, 2021.
- [22] B. K. Kuguoglu, H. van der Voort, and M. Janssen, "The giant leap for smart cities: scaling up smart city artificial intelligence of things (AIOT) initiatives," Sustainability, vol. 13, no. 21, p. 12295, 2021.
- [23] W. Anupong et al., "Deep learning algorithms were used to generate photovoltaic renewable energy in saline water analysis via an oxidation process," Water Reuse, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 68-81, 2023.
- [24] W. Sun, P. Bocchini, and B. D. Davison, "Applications of artificial intelligence for disaster management," Natural Hazards, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 2631-2689, 2020.
- [25] K. Alhosani and S. M. Alhashmi, "Opportunities, challenges, and benefits of AI innovation in government services: a review," Discover Artificial Intelligence, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 18, 2024.
- [26] P. Molina Rodríguez-Navas, N. Medranda Morales, and J. Muñoz Lalinde, "Transparency for participation through the communication approach," ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, vol. 10, no. 9, p. 586, 2021.
- [27] D. Geekiyanage, T. Fernando, and K. Keraminiyage, "Assessing the state of the art in community engagement for participatory decision-making in disaster risk-sensitive urban development," International journal of disaster risk reduction, vol. 51, p. 101847, 2020.
- [28] H. Felzmann, E. Fosch-Villaronga, C. Lutz, and A. Tamò-Larrieux, "Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence," Science and engineering ethics, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 3333-3361, 2020.
- [29] M. Langer et al., "What do we want from Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)?–A stakeholder perspective on XAI and a conceptual model guiding interdisciplinary XAI research," Artificial Intelligence, vol. 296, p. 103473, 2021.
- [30] D. Thakker, B. K. Mishra, A. Abdullatif, S. Mazumdar, and S. Simpson, "Explainable artificial intelligence for developing smart cities solutions," Smart Cities, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 1353-1382, 2020.
- [31] A. R. Javed, W. Ahmed, S. Pandya, P. K. R. Maddikunta, M. Alazab, and T. R. Gadekallu, "A survey of explainable artificial intelligence for smart cities," Electronics, vol. 12, no. 4, p. 1020, 2023.
- [32] F. Wagner et al., "Using explainable machine learning to understand how urban form shapes sustainable mobility," Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment, vol. 111, p. 103442, 2022.
- [33] P. Nagaraj and P. Deepalakshmi, "An intelligent fuzzy inference rule based expert recommendation system for predictive diabetes diagnosis," International Journal of Imaging Systems and Technology, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 1373-1396, 2022.
- [34] Z. Zhang, H. Al Hamadi, E. Damiani, C. Y. Yeun, and F. Taher, "Explainable artificial intelligence applications in cyber security: Stateof-the-art in research," IEEE Access, vol. 10, pp. 93104-93139, 2022.
- [35] I. Kök, F. Y. Okay, Ö. Muyanlı, and S. Özdemir, "Explainable artificial intelligence (xai) for internet of things: a survey," IEEE Internet of Things Journal, vol. 10, no. 16, pp. 14764-14779, 2023.
- [36] V. R. Sonawane, S. P. Jadhav, and J. R. Suryawanshi, "Open Challenges and Research Issues of XAI in Modern Smart Cities," Advances in Explainable AI Applications for Smart Cities, pp. 276-296, 2024.
- [37] D. Szpilko, F. J. Naharro, G. Lăzăroiu, E. Nica, and A. de la Torre Gallegos, "Artificial intelligence in the smart city—a literature review," Engineering Management in Production and Services, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 53-75, 2023.
- [38] H. Eskandari, H. Saadatmand, M. Ramzan, and M. Mousapour, "Innovative framework for accurate and transparent forecasting of energy consumption: A fusion of feature selection and interpretable machine learning," Applied Energy, vol. 366, p. 123314, 2024.
- [39] V. Hassija et al., "Interpreting black-box models: a review on explainable artificial intelligence," Cognitive Computation, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 45-74, 2024.